Extensions of C_i fields

Grétar Amazeen

Bergischen Universität Wuppertal

amazeen@uni-wuppertal.de

November 6, 2020



2 Theorem of Lang and Nagata



Image: A matrix

We start by recalling the definition of normic forms

Definition

A form f of degree d in n variables with coefficients in a field k is said to be *normic of order* i if $n = d^i$ and the only zero of f is the trivial one. When i = 1 the form is simply called *normic*. We start by recalling the definition of normic forms

Definition

A form f of degree d in n variables with coefficients in a field k is said to be *normic of order* i if $n = d^i$ and the only zero of f is the trivial one. When i = 1 the form is simply called *normic*.

In the rest of the talk we will only be concerned with normic forms, i.e. of order 1.

Example

Over the field ${\ensuremath{\mathbb Q}}$ the form

$$f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$

is normic of degree 2.

Lemma A

Let E/k be a finite field extension of degree e > 1, then the norm of the extension, $N := N_{E/k}$ is a normic form of degree e.

Lemma A

Let E/k be a finite field extension of degree e > 1, then the norm of the extension, $N := N_{E/k}$ is a normic form of degree e.

Proof.

We fix a basis of *E* as a *k* vector space. Then N(x) becomes a homogeniuous polynomial of degree *e* in the coefficients of *x*, and we know from field theory that $N(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$, so *N* is normic.

Lemma B

Let k be a field. If k is not algebracially closed, then k admits a normic form of arbitrarily high degree.

Lemma B

Let k be a field. If k is not algebracially closed, then k admits a normic form of arbitrarily high degree.

Proof.

Since k is not algebraically closed, we can find some normic form over k. For instance, we can find a finite extension of k and take it's norm. So let ϕ be such a normic form, and denote by e the degree of ϕ .

Lemma B

Let k be a field. If k is not algebracially closed, then k admits a normic form of arbitrarily high degree.

Proof.

Since k is not algebraically closed, we can find some normic form over k. For instance, we can find a finite extension of k and take its norm. So let ϕ be such a normic form, and denote by e the degree of ϕ . We define the following iterations of ϕ :

$$\phi^{(1)} = \phi(\phi|\phi|\dots|\phi),$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \phi^{(1)}(\phi|\phi|\dots|\phi),$$

These iterations are defined as follows: To define $\phi^{(1)}$, we substitute ϕ in for each of the variables in ϕ , and the vertical line is meant to indicate that each ϕ takes a new set of variables. Therefore, since ϕ has degree e (and is a form in e variables since it is normic) we see that $\phi^{(1)}$ is a form of degree e^2 in e^2 variables. In general $\phi^{(m)}$ is a form of degree e^{m+1} in e^{m+1} variables.

Caveat

Greenberg claims that $\phi^{(m)}$ has degree e^m , not e^{m+1} like I claim. Please correct me if I am wrong.

Example interlude

Consider again the normic form $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ of degree 2 over \mathbb{Q} . We have

$$f^{(1)}(x, y, z, w) = f(f|f)$$

= $f(f(x, y), f(z, w))$
= $f(x^2 + y^2, z^2 + w^2)$
= $x^4 + 2x^2y^2 + y^4 + z^4 + 2z^2w^2 + w^4$,

a form of degree $4 = 2^2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

proof continued

These iterations are defined as follows: To define $\phi^{(1)}$, we substitute ϕ in for each of the variables in ϕ , and the vertical line is meant to indicate that each ϕ takes a new set of variables. Therefore, since ϕ has degree e (and is a form in e variables since it is normic) we see that $\phi^{(1)}$ is a form of degree e^2 in e^2 variables. In general $\phi^{(m)}$ is a form in e^{m+1} variables.

Each of these $\phi^{(m)}$ is normic. Consider $\phi^{(1)}$, if $\phi^{(1)}(\underline{x}) = 0$ for some $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_e, x_{e+1}, \ldots, x_{e^2})$, then since $\phi^{(1)} = \phi(\phi| \ldots | \phi)$ and ϕ is normic we see that we must have $\underline{x} = 0$, so $\phi^{(1)}$ is normic. The statement for $\phi^{(m)}$ follows by induction.

Lang-Nagata Theorem

Let K be a C_i field and let f_1, \ldots, f_r be forms in n variables of degree d. If $n > rd^i$ then they have a non-trivial common zero in K.

Lang-Nagata Theorem

Let K be a C_i field and let f_1, \ldots, f_r be forms in n variables of degree d. If $n > rd^i$ then they have a non-trivial common zero in K.

Proof

If K is algebraically closed (so i = 0), then each f_i defines a hypersurface H_i in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{n-1} . The dimension of the intersection $\bigcap_{1 \le i \le r} H_i$ is then greater than or equal to $n - 1 - r \ge 0$ so in particular the f_i 's have a common non-trivial zero.

Proof

If K is algebraically closed (so i = 0), then each f_i defines a hypersurface H_i in \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1} . The dimension of the intersection $\bigcap_{1 \le i \le r} H_i$ is then greater than or equal to $n - 1 - r \ge 0$ so in particular the f_i 's have a common non-trivial zero.

So we can assume K is not algebraically closed. Then we know by Lemma B that we can find a normic form of degree $e \ge r$, let ϕ be such a form.

Proof

If K is algebraically closed (so i = 0), then each f_i defines a hypersurface H_i in \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1} . The dimension of the intersection $\bigcap_{1 \le i \le r} H_i$ is then greater than or equal to $n - 1 - r \ge 0$ so in particular the f_i 's have a common non-trivial zero.

So we can assume K is not algebraically closed. Then we know by Lemma B that we can find a normic form of degree $e \ge r$, let ϕ be such a form.

We now define (in a similar way as in the proof of Lemma B) new forms $\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}$, etc. in the following manner:

We now define (in a similar way as in the proof of Lemma B) new forms $\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}$, etc. in the following manner:

$$\phi^{(1)} = \phi(f_1, \dots, f_r | f_1, \dots, f_r | \dots | f_1, \dots, f_r | 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\phi^{(2)} = \phi^{(1)}(f_1, \dots, f_r | f_1, \dots, f_r | \dots | f_1, \dots, f_r | 0, \dots, 0),$$

:

where as before, the vertical lines indicate that we introduce new variables. We fit as many complete sets of f_i into ϕ and fill the rest with zeros.

Example Interlude

If e = r then

$$\phi^{(1)}=\phi(f_1,\ldots,f_r),$$

If e = 2r + 1 then

$$\phi^{(1)}=\phi(f_1,\ldots,f_r|f_1,\ldots,f_r|0),$$

etc.

æ

-

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Lang-Nagata

Proof Continued.

We see that $\phi^{(1)}$ has $n\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor$ variables and degree de. We have $\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor \leq \frac{e}{r} < \lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor + 1$, and so

$$de < dr(\lfloor rac{e}{r}
floor + 1).$$

If K is C_1 then we want to have $n\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor \ge dr(\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor + 1)$, i.e.

$$(n-dr)\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor > dr.$$

This we can ensure, since n - dr > 0 by assumption, and we can by Lemma B chose *e* to be arbitrarily large. Since *K* is C_1 , $\phi^{(1)}$ has a non-trivial zero, and that gives us a non-trivial common zero of f_1, \ldots, f_r since ϕ is normic.

Now let K be a C_i field with i > 1. We have to analyse $\phi^{(m)}$ for higher m's now. Inductively it is easy to see that the degree of $\phi^{(m)}$ is $d^m e$, and if we denote the number of variables in $\phi^{(m)}$ by N_m then

$$N_{m+1}=n\lfloor \frac{N_m}{r}
floor.$$

Caveat.

Greenberg writes here $N_{m+1} = \lfloor \frac{N_m}{r} \rfloor$, but I am pretty sure the factor of *n* should be there. Please let me know if I'm mistaken.

(*

Lang-Nagata

Proof Continued

Now let K be a C_i field with i > 1. We have to analyse $\phi^{(m)}$ for higher m's now. Inductively it is easy to see that the degree of $\phi^{(m)}$ is $d^m e$, and if we denote the number of variables in $\phi^{(m)}$ by N_m then

$$N_{m+1} = n \lfloor \frac{N_m}{r} \rfloor. \tag{*}$$

Our aim now is to choose *m* large enough to ensure that $N_m > (D_m)^i$, where $D_m = d^m e$ denotes the degree of $\phi^{(m)}$. Again, since $\lfloor \frac{N_m}{r} \rfloor \leq \frac{N_m}{r} < \lfloor \frac{N_m}{r} \rfloor + 1$, we can write

$$\lfloor \frac{N_m}{r} \rfloor = \frac{N_m}{r} - \frac{t_m}{r},$$

where this remainder term t_m satisfies $0 \le t_m < r$.

(**)

We have

$$\frac{N_{m+1}}{D_{m+1}^{i}} = \frac{n \lfloor \frac{N_{m}}{r} \rfloor}{d^{i} D_{m}^{i}} \text{ by definition of degree and (*)}$$
$$= \frac{n}{r d^{i}} \frac{N_{m}}{D_{m}^{i}} - \frac{n}{r d^{i}} \frac{t_{m}}{e^{i} (d^{i})^{m}} \text{ by (**)}$$
$$\geq \frac{n}{r d^{i}} \frac{N_{m}}{D_{m}^{i}} - \frac{n}{r d^{i}} \frac{r}{e^{i} (d^{i})^{m}} \text{ since } 0 \leq t_{m} < r.$$

(日)

æ

We use this same inequality for all $j \leq m$ and obtain

$$\frac{N_{m+1}}{D_{m+1}^{i}} \geq \frac{n}{rd^{i}} \frac{N_{m}}{D_{m}^{i}} - \frac{n}{rd^{i}} \frac{r}{e^{i}(d^{i})^{m}} \\
\geq (\frac{n}{rd^{i}})^{2} (\frac{N_{m-1}}{D_{m-1}^{i}} - \frac{r}{e^{i}(d^{i})^{m-1}}) - (\frac{n}{rd^{i}})(\frac{r}{e^{i}(d^{i})^{m}}) \\
\vdots \\
\geq (\frac{n}{rd^{i}})^{m} \frac{N_{1}}{D_{1}^{i}} - \frac{r}{e^{i}} \frac{n}{r} \frac{1}{(d^{i})^{m+1}} (\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\frac{n}{r})^{j}) \\
= (\frac{n}{rd^{i}})^{m} \frac{N_{1}}{D_{1}^{i}} - \frac{r}{e^{i}} \frac{n}{r} \frac{1}{(d^{i})^{m+1}} \frac{(\frac{n}{r})^{m} - 1}{\frac{n}{r} - 1}.$$

Image: A matrix

э

We plug in $D_1 = ed$, $N_1 = n \lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor$ and write $\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor = \frac{e}{r} - \frac{t}{r}$ where $0 \le t < r$.

12

Lang-Nagata

Proof Continued.

We plug in $D_1 = ed$, $N_1 = n\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor$ and write $\lfloor \frac{e}{r} \rfloor = \frac{e}{r} - \frac{t}{r}$ where $0 \le t < r$.

$$\begin{split} \frac{N_{m+1}}{D_{m+1}^{i}} &\geq \left(\frac{n}{rd^{i}}\right)^{m+1} \frac{e-t}{e^{i}} - \frac{r}{e^{i}} \frac{n}{r} \frac{1}{(d^{i})^{m+1}} \frac{r(n^{m}-r^{m})}{r^{m}(n-r)} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{rd^{i}}\right)^{m+1} \frac{e-t}{e^{i}} - \frac{r}{e^{i}} \frac{n}{rd^{i}} \frac{n}{n-r} \left(\left(\frac{n}{rd^{i}}\right)^{m} - \frac{1}{(d^{i})^{m}}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{rd^{i}}\right)^{m+1} \left(\frac{e-t}{e^{i}} - \frac{r^{2}}{e^{i}(n-r)}\right) + \frac{1}{(d^{i})^{m}} \left(\frac{rn}{e^{i}d^{i}(n-r)}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{rd^{i}}\right)^{m+1} \frac{(n-r)(e-t)-r^{2}}{e^{i}(n-r)} + \frac{1}{(d^{i})^{m}} \left(\frac{rn}{e^{i}d^{i}(n-r)}\right). \end{split}$$

Image: A matrix and a matrix

æ

Again we can use Lemma B to choose *e* as large as we want, so we choose it such that $(n-r)(e-t) - r^2 > 0$. Since $\frac{n}{rd^i} > 1$ (we have $n > rd^i$ by assumption) we see that the first term tends to ∞ as $m \to \infty$. The second term tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$ so we see that $\frac{N_m}{D_m} \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$.

Again we can use Lemma B to choose e as large as we want, so we choose it such that $(n-r)(e-t) - r^2 > 0$. Since $\frac{n}{rd^i} > 1$ (we have $n > rd^i$ by assumption) we see that the first term tends to ∞ as $m \to \infty$. The second term tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$ so we see that $\frac{N_m}{D_m^i} \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$.

We can thus find some *m* such that $N_m > D_m^i$, but then $\phi^{(m)}$ has a non-trivial zero, and that will give us a non-trivial common zero of f_1, \ldots, f_r .

We can now prove the two main theorems of this talk.

э

We can now prove the two main theorems of this talk.

Theorem 4

Every algebraic extension of a C_i field is C_i .

Theorem 5

If K is a C_i field and E/K is a an extension of trancendence degree j, then E is a C_{i+j} field.

Theorem 4

Every algebraic extension of a C_i field is C_i .

Proof.

Let K be a C_i field. It is enough to prove the statement for finite extensions E/K since the coefficients of any given form over E lie in a finite extension over K.

Fix a basis b_1, \ldots, b_e of E as a K-vector space. Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a form of degree d over E with $n > d^i$.

Image: A matrix and a matrix

э

Theorem 4

Proof continued.

Fix a basis b_1, \ldots, b_e of E as a K-vector space. Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a form of degree d over E with $n > d^i$.

We introduce new variables y_{ij} with

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^e y_{ij} b_j.$$

Then

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^e f_i(\underline{y})b_i$$

where the f_i are forms in *en* variables of degree *d* over *K*.

Grétar Amazeen (Wuppertal)

э

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Finding a zero for f in E is then equivalent to finding a common zero of f_1, \ldots, f_e in K. Now $en > ed^i$ by the assumption on n and d, and so by the Lang-Nagata theorem we can find a non-trivial zero for f, and E is therefore a C_i field.

Theorem 5

If K is a C_i field and E/K is a an extension of trancendence degree j, then E is a C_{i+j} field.

Proof.

E is an algebraic extension of a purely trancendental extension of *K*. By Theorem 4, we can assume *E* is purely trancendental over *K*. Furthermore, we can by induction reduce to the case where E = K(T).

Proof.

E is an algebraic extension of a purely trancendental extension of *K*. By Theorem 4, we can assume *E* is purely trancendental over *K*. Furthermore, we can by induction reduce to the case where E = K(T).

We can always clear denominators, so we can reduce to considering forms with coefficients in the polynomial ring K[T].

Suppose $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a form of degree d with coefficients in K[T]. We introduce new variables y_{ij} with

$$x_i = \sum_{j=0}^s y_{ij} T^j.$$

We specify what this *s* is later.

Theorem 5

Proof Continued.

Suppose $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a form of degree d with coefficients in K[T]. We introduce new variables y_{ij} with

$$x_i = \sum_{j=0}^s y_{ij} T^j.$$

We specify what this s is later.

Let r be the highest degree occurring in a coefficient of f, then we can write

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sum_{j=0}^{ds+r}f_j(\underline{y})T^j,$$

where each f_j is a form over K of degree d in n(s+1) variables.

We now specify what this s is. It is some positive integer large enough so that we have

$$n(s+1) > d^{i}(ds+r+1).$$

We now specify what this s is. It is some positive integer large enough so that we have

$$n(s+1) > d^{i}(ds+r+1).$$

We rewrite this as

$$(n-d^{i+1})s > d^{i}(r+1) - n,$$

and notice that by assumption $n > d^{i+1}$ and the quantity on the right-hand side is fixed, so we can choose such a large enough s.

We can now use the Lang-Nagata theorem to find a non-trivial common zero of the f_0, \ldots, f_{ds+r} , which is precisely the same as finding a non-trivial zero for f, showing that K(T) is a C_{i+1} field.

Thank You!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで