## A question about the elementary equivalence and acylindricity

## O. Bogopolski

December 6, 2020

We consider the following ascending chain of groups

$$G_{1} = \langle t_{1}, t_{2} | \rangle \cong F(t_{1}, t_{2})$$

$$\land$$

$$G_{2} = \langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} | t_{3}^{-1}t_{2}t_{3} = t_{2}t_{1} \rangle \cong F_{2}(t_{2}, t_{3})$$

$$\land$$

$$G_{3} = \langle t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4} | t_{4}^{-1}t_{3}t_{4} = t_{3}t_{2} \rangle \cong F_{2}(t_{3}, t_{4})$$

$$\land$$

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i}$$

Clearly G is locally free, but not free since G = [G, G]. Moreover, G is not finitely generated, the centralizer of each element of G is cyclic, and G is not simple since  $\langle\langle G_i \rangle\rangle \neq G$ . It seems that the following questions can be easily answered: Is  $\langle\langle G_i \rangle\rangle \cong F_{\omega}$ ? What is the structure of  $\langle\langle G_{i+1} \rangle\rangle / \langle\langle G_i \rangle\rangle$ ?

Conjecture. (O. Bogopolski)

- 1)  $F_2$  and G are (existentially) elementarily equivalent.
- 2) G is acylindrically hyperbolic.

**Remark 1.** Theorem of Tarski-Vaught says that if G is an ascending union of  $G_i$ 's, where every  $G_i$  is elementary embedded to  $G_{i+1}$ , then  $G_i$  is elementary embedded to G. In particular, G is elementarily equivalent to  $G_i$ . However this approach does not work, since in our case the natural embedding of  $G_i$  to  $G_{i+1}$  is not elementary:  $t_i \in G_i$  is a commutator in  $G_{i+1}$ , but not in  $G_i$ .

**Remark 2.** Sela proved that if G is finitely generated and  $G \equiv H$ , where H is torsion-free hyperbolic, then G is also torsion-free hyperbolic. André omitted the torsion-free condition here. More precisely, he proved that if G is finitely generated and  $G \equiv H$ , where H is hyperbolic, then G is also hyperbolic.

Note that this cannot be applied to our conjecture since our G is not finitely generated.

**Question.** (Osin) Is acylindrical hyperbolicity preserved under elementary equivalence among finitely generated groups?

Section 5 of [1] gives an easy counterexample among countable groups. In this counterexample the centralizers of all nontrivial elements of G are noncyclic. Note that for our G these centralizers are cyclic.

## References

- [1] S. André, Acylindrical hyperbolicity and existential closedness, ArXiv, 2020.
- [2] S. André, Hyperbolicity and cubulability are preserved under elementary equivalence, ArXiv, 2018.
- [3] S. André, Formal solutions and the first-order theory of acylindrically hyperbolic groups, ArXiv, 2020.
- [4] D. Osin, A topological zero-one law and elementary equivalence of finitely generated groups, ArXiv, 2020; to appear in Ann. Pure App. Logic.
- [5] D. Osin, Condensed groups in product varieties, ArXiv, 2020.