Midsummer resolutions

Herman Rohrbach

Bergische Universität Wuppertal

June 22, 2021

Derived categories, stable module categories and cohomology in practice

Derived categories

Construction of a derived category

- 1. Take your favorite abelian category \mathcal{A} .
- 2. Form the category $Ch(\mathcal{A})$ of chain complexes in \mathcal{A} .
- 3. Define chain homotopies between chain maps.
- 4. Consider maps up to chain homotopy to obtain the homotopy category K(A).
- 5. Define quasi-isomorphisms as chain maps that induce isomorphisms in (co)homology.
- 6. Localize with respect to quasi-isomorphisms to obtain the derived category D(A).

Derived categories are triangulated; they come equipped with a class of distinguished triangles that act as exact sequences and are rotatable.

Short exact sequences are distinguished triangles

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

a short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} . Note that C(f) in $Ch(\mathcal{A})$ is the complex $0 \to A \to B \to 0$ with B in degree 0. The morphism of complexes



is a quasi-isomorphism since its cone is a short exact sequence and therefore acyclic.

Therefore, in D(A), there is an isomorphism of triangles



so the short exact sequence defines a distinguished triangle.

Sheaf cohomology

Let X be a scheme, \mathcal{A} the abelian category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, $\Gamma(X, -) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ the left exact global sections functor and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{A}$. The sheaf cohomology $H^{\bullet}(X, \mathcal{F})$ is the cohomology

 $H^{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X,\mathcal{F}))$

of the right derived functor $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X,-): D(\mathcal{A}) \to D(\mathcal{A})$. Recipe:

- 1. Take an injective resolution $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{I}_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1 \to \ldots$, or equivalently, an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{I}_{\bullet}$ in D(X) with \mathcal{I}_{\bullet} $\Gamma(X, -)$ -acyclic.
- 2. Apply $\Gamma(X, -)$ to \mathcal{I}_{\bullet} to obtain $\mathcal{I}_0(X) \to \mathcal{I}_1(X) \to \dots$
- 3. Take the cohomology of $\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}(X)$.

Problem: injective resolutions are annoying. Solution: Ĉech cohomology! (But we won't go into this.)

Serre's criterion for affineness

Theorem

Let X be a quasi-compact scheme. Assume that, for every quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}) = 0$. Then X is affine.

Proof.

 $x \in X$ closed point, $x \in U \subset X$ affine open neighborhood, $Z = X \setminus U$, $Z' = Z \cup \{x\}$, \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}' corresponding ideals.



Summarv

Serre's criterion for affineness



 $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ $x = \mathfrak{m} \subset A$

There are exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}' \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}') \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}') \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{I}'), \end{array}$$

and $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}') = 0$ by assumption. Note that $H^0(X, \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}') = A/\mathfrak{m}$, so there exists $f \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ mapping to $1 \in A/\mathfrak{m}$, so $X_f \subset U$ is affine.

Serre's criterion for affineness

Let $I = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X) \mid X_f \text{ affine}\}$ and $W = \bigcup_{f \in I} X_f$. Then $X \setminus W$ is quasi-compact, hence contains a closed point if it is non-empty, hence is empty.

Choose finitely many $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in I$ such that

$$X=X_{f_1}\cup\cdots\cup X_{f_n}.$$

Then there is a short exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\longrightarrow 0.$$

Let \mathcal{F}_i be the first *i* summands of \mathcal{F} . The exact sequence

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_1) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_2) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1)$$

begins and ends with 0. Hence $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_2) = 0$, and $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ by iteration. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_X(X)^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is surjective.

Herman Rohrbach

Summary

Let *R* be a commutative ring, *A* the abelian category of *R*-modules and *A* an *R*-module. Let $F : A \to A$ be the right exact functor $A \otimes -$. For $B \in A$,

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(A,B) = H_{i}(A \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} B),$$

where $A \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} - : D(R) \to D(R)$ is the left derived functor of F. Recipe:

- 1. Take a projective resolution $\ldots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow B$, or equivalently, an isomorphism $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow B$ in D(R) with P_{\bullet} *F*-acyclic.
- 2. Tensor P_{\bullet} with A to get $B'_{\bullet}: \ldots \to A \otimes P_1 \to A \otimes P_0 \to 0$.
- 3. Compute $H_i(B'_{\bullet})$.

Note that $\operatorname{Tor}_0^R(A, B) = A \otimes B$.

Tor

Properties

- 1. $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is flat if and only if $\operatorname{Tor}_n^R(A, B) = 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \ge 1$.
- 2. For a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in A such that C is flat, A is flat if and only if B is flat.
- 3. $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{R}(A, -)$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{R}(-, B)$ can be computed using flat resolutions.
- 4. $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{R}(A, -)$ commutes with colimits. In particular, it commutes with direct sums.
- 5. For the following items, assume R is a domain. Let $Q = \operatorname{Frac}(R)$ and K = Q/R. Then $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(K, -)$ is naturally isomorphic to the torsion functor Tor : $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$.
- 6. $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{R}(A, B)$ is torsion for all $n \geq 1$.

Example

Let k a field, R = k[x, y] and I = (x, y). Then $x \otimes y - y \otimes x \neq 0$ in $I \otimes I$. Consider the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Tor}}_1^R(k,I) \longrightarrow I \otimes_R I \longrightarrow R \otimes_R I \longrightarrow k \otimes_R I \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that *R* is a domain, so *I* is a torsion-free *R*-module. But, the second map is not injective since $x \otimes y - y \otimes x \mapsto 0$, so $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(k, I) \neq 0$, so *I* is not a flat *R*-module!

Summarv

Let $G: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the left exact functor $Hom(\mathcal{A}, -)$. For $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(A,B) = H^i(\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}(A,B)) = D(\mathcal{A})(A,B[i]),$$

where $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(A, -)$ is the right derived functor of G. Then $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(A, -)$ and $A \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} -$ form an adjoint pair of functors $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$. Recipe:

- 1. Take an injective resolution I_{\bullet} of B.
- 2. Compute the complex $Hom(A, I_{\bullet})$.
- 3. Take the cohomology of the complex.

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow C_i \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in \mathcal{A} . Then



defines a map $A \rightarrow B[i]$ in the derived category D(A). With the right notion of equivalence classes of exact sequences, this defines a bijective correspondence, due to N. Yoneda.

Example

Let *B* be a \mathbb{Z} -module and $n \ge 2$. There is an exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\mu_n} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

yielding a commutative diagram

$$B \xrightarrow{\mu_n} B \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow B/nB \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$Hom(\mathbb{Z}, B) \xrightarrow{\mu_n^*} Hom(\mathbb{Z}, B) \longrightarrow Ext^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, B) \longrightarrow 0$$

with exact rows. Hence, $B/nB \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, B)$ is an isomorphism.

Herman Rohrbach Summary

Stable module categories

Construction of a stable module category

- Let G be a finite group, with group ring k[G] over some field k.
- 2. Let Mod(k[G]) be the category of left k[G]-modules.
- 3. Call two morphisms $f, g : A \to B$ in Mod(k[G]) equivalent if f g factors through a projective module.
- Consider equivalence classes of maps to obtain the stable module category StMod(k[G]).
- 5. Then StMod(k[G]) is a Frobenius category, that is, injectives coincide with projectives.
- Consider the triangulated structure on StMod(k[G]) with suspension ΣM of a module M given by ΣM = coker(M → I), where I is the injective hull, and distinguished triangles coming from exact sequences.

Group cohomology and the stable module category

Definition

Let G be a group with group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ and let A be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. Consider \mathbb{Z} with the trivial G-action. The group cohomology $H^i(G, A)$ of G with coefficients in A is defined as

$$H^{i}(G, A) = \operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}, A).$$

Note that $H^0(G, A) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, A) = A^G$ is the submodule of *G*-invariant elements. In other words, the functor $A \mapsto A^G$ on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules is left exact, so group cohomology is its right derived functor.

If G is finite, k is a field and A is a k[G]-module, then $H^i(G, A) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_{k[G]}(k, A)$. There is a cup product

 $H^{i}(G,k)\otimes H^{j}(G,k)\longrightarrow H^{i+j}(G,k),$

yielding a graded commutative ring $H^*(G, k)$.

Herman Rohrbach

Summary

Group cohomology and the stable module category

Let G be a finite group and k a field of characteristic p.

Theorem (Quillen)

An element of $H^*(G, k)$ is nilpotent if and only if its restriction to every elementary abelian p-subgroup is nilpotent.

Theorem (Quillen)

The Krull dimension of $H^*(G, k)$ is equal to the p-rank of G, that is, the largest r such that $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^r \leq G$.

Let $V_G = \max H^*(G, k)$ be the spectrum of maximal ideals in $H^*(G, k)$. For A a finite-dimensional k[G]-module, $\operatorname{Ext}_{k[G]}^*(A, A)$ is a graded $H^*(G, k)$ -module, so its annihilator is an ideal of $H^*(G, k)$, which defines a subvariety $V_G(A) \subset V_G$.

Herman Rohrbach

The End

Herman Rohrbach

Summary