

An Ihara-type theorem for buildings

Thomas Weigel

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO-BICOCCA
Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni

Trees, dynamics and locally compact groups, Düsseldorf, Germany,
29.6.2018

Ihara's theorem

the classical theorem of Ihara

- Let \mathbb{K} be a non-archimedean local field, i.e., \mathbb{K} is a field with a discrete valuation $v: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, where $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in \mathbb{K} \mid v(x) \leq 0\}$, is a complete discrete valuation ring with finite residue field \mathbb{F} .
- Let V be a 2-dimensional \mathbb{K} -vector space.
- A \mathcal{O} -submodule $L \subseteq V$ is said to be a **\mathcal{O} -lattice**, and
- two \mathcal{O} -lattices $L_1, L_2 \subseteq V$ are said to be **equivalent**, if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^\times$ such that $L_2 = \lambda \cdot L_1$, and **adjacent** if $L_1/L_1 \cap L_2 \simeq \mathbb{F}$.
- Let \mathcal{V} denote the set of equivalence classes of \mathcal{O} -lattices, and let $\mathcal{E} = \{([L_1], [L_2]) \in \mathcal{V}^2 \mid L_1, L_2 \text{ adjacent}\}$.
- Then $\Gamma(V) = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with the obvious mappings $o: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, $t: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, $\bar{\cdot}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a combinatorial graph.
- (Y. Ihara) The graph $\Gamma(V)$ is a tree.



Consequences of Ihara's theorem

using Bass-Serre Theory

- Let $([L_1], [L_2])$ be an edge in the graph $\Gamma(V)$.
- Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{K})$, $G_i = \mathrm{stab}_G([L_i])$ and $I = \mathrm{stab}_{G_1}([L_2])$. Then

$$G \simeq G_1 \amalg_I G_2.$$

The group I is called an Iwahori subgroup of G .

- (Stallings) Every torsion free lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{K})$ is a free group.
- As a consequence every lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{K})$ is a virtually free group.



The graph

associated to a pair of subgroups

- Let G be a group, and let $A, B \subseteq G$ be subgroups of G . Then
 - $\Gamma(G; A, B) = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ given by
 - $\mathcal{V} = G/A \sqcup G/B$,
 - $\mathcal{E} = \{(gA, gB) \mid g \in G\}$ with the obvious mappings $t, o: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$,
 $\bar{\cdot}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, is a graph in the sense of J-P. Serre.

Proposition (Trees, J-P. Serre)

Let G be a group, and let $A, B \subseteq G$ be two proper non-trivial subgroups of G . Then the following are equivalent:

- $\Gamma(G; A, B)$ is a tree.
- The canonical homomorphism $\pi: A \amalg_C B \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism, where $C = A \cap B$.

Coxeter groups

Definition

A group W together with a subset $S \subset W$ satisfying

- $\forall \sigma \in S : \text{ord}(\sigma) = 2$;
- For $\sigma, \tau \in S$ let

$$m_{\sigma, \tau} = \text{ord}(\sigma \cdot \tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Then

$$W \simeq \langle S \mid (\sigma \cdot \tau)^{m_{\sigma, \tau}} = 1 \rangle.$$

is called a **Coxeter system**. Groups with a Coxeter system are called **Coxeter groups**



Decomposing Coxeter groups

Definition

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, and let $S_{\blacktriangle}, S_{\blacktriangledown} \subseteq S$ be subsets of S . Then $S_{\blacktriangledown/\blacktriangle}$ is said to be an ∞ -decomposition of (W, S) , if

- $S_{\blacktriangle} \cup S_{\blacktriangledown} = S$;
- For $S_{\bullet} = S_{\blacktriangledown} \cap S_{\blacktriangle}$ and $S_{\blacktriangledown} = S_{\blacktriangledown} \setminus S_{\bullet}$; $S_{\blacktriangle} = S_{\blacktriangle} \setminus S_{\bullet}$ one has :

$$m_{\sigma, \tau} = \infty \quad \forall \sigma \in S_{\blacktriangledown}, \tau \in S_{\blacktriangle}.$$

- The ∞ -decomposition $S_{\blacktriangledown/\blacktriangle}$ is said to be **spherical**, if $(W_{\bullet}, S_{\bullet})$ is a finite Coxeter group, where $W_{\bullet} = W_{S_{\bullet}} = \langle S_{\bullet} \rangle$ is the **parabolic subgroup** generated by S_{\bullet} .


Decomposing Coxeter groups, II

Proposition

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, let $S_{\nabla/\blacktriangle} \subseteq S$ be proper subsets of S , and let $S_{\bullet} = S_{\nabla} \cap S_{\blacktriangle}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- $W \simeq W_{\blacktriangle} \amalg_{W_{\bullet}} W_{\nabla}$, where $W_{\nabla} = W_{S_{\nabla}} = \langle S_{\nabla} \rangle$, etc.
- $\Gamma(W; W_{\nabla}, W_{\blacktriangle})$ is a tree.
- $W_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ is an ∞ -decomposition.

Coxeter groups with more than one end

StackExchange   sign up log in tour help

MathOverflow is a question and answer site for professional mathematicians. It's 100% free, no registration required.

Sign up

Here's how it works:



Anybody can ask a question



Anybody can answer



The best answers are voted up and rise to the top

Ends of Coxeter Groups

It is known after Stallings that a group can have 0, 1, 2 or infinitely many ends. Are there known results on the space of ends of a Coxeter group?

[gr.group-theory](#)

[gt.geometric-topology](#)

edited Sep 10 '14 at 15:05

 [Stefan Kohl](#)
5,489 ● 5 ● 40 ● 83

asked Sep 10 '14 at 14:46

 [Nicolas Boerger](#)
288 ● 1 ● 8

- You can find some information on ends of Coxeter groups in this paper by Mhalla: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.0444v1> – Nick Gill Sep 10 '14 at 15:29
- I think the theorem stating that a finitely generated group can only have 0, 1, 2 or infinitely many ends is rather due to Hopf – Stefan Sep 10 '14 at 15:38

1 Answer

The following book has a wealth of material on this topic:

The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups by Michael Davis.

By way of example, here is one result from the book:

Theorem 8.7.1 Let (W, S) be a Coxeter System:

- W is one-ended if and only if $H_1^1(\Sigma) = 0$.
- W has two ends if and only if $H_1^1(\Sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.
- W has infinitely many ends if and only if $H_1^1(\Sigma)$ has infinite rank.

Here Σ is the cell complex associated to (W, S) . I have an e-copy of the book - email me if you want a copy.

answered Sep 10 '14 at 17:22

 [Nick Gill](#)
7,256 ● 17 ● 49

- I would not consider this as a satisfactory answer, since it changes a problem into another one. I would consider as a full answer one describing in terms of the combinatorial data defining the Coxeter group. For instance just describing when the Coxeter group is finite involves a quite lengthy list. Describing when it has 2 ends is just, in the connected case, the case of the infinite dihedral group. (-) – YCor Sep 10 '14 at 17:42

Here are 1 source of multi-ended Coxeter group: when there is a partition $S = A \cup C \cup B$ with A, B non-empty, such that all edges between A and B are labeled oo , and such that the subgroup generated by C is finite. This is something checkable. Maybe there are other obvious sources of multi-ended Coxeter groups, and it would be natural to wonder if they are the only ones. – YCor Sep 10 '14 at 17:46

@YCor: of course your comment is entirely reasonable. There are plenty more results in the cited text that give more explicit information (see especially Thm. 8.7.3)... but I don't want to write them all out – Nick Gill Sep 10 '14 at 18:22



Decomposing Coxeter groups, III

Proposition (M. Davis)

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Then $e(W) > 1$ if and only if there exists a spherical ∞ -decomposition $S_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ of (W, S) . In particular, Y. Cornulier's question has an affirmative answer.

Definition

A Coxeter system (W, S) is said to be completely spherically ∞ -decomposable, if there exists a family of subsets $(S_j)_{j \in J}$ such that

- $S = \bigcup_{j \in J} S_j$;
- (W_{S_j}, S_j) is a finite Coxeter group for all $j \in J$;
- for $j, k \in J, j \neq k$, S_j, S_k is a spherical ∞ -decomposition of $(W_{S_j \cup S_k}, S_j \cup S_k)$.



Coxeter groups of dimension 1

Proposition

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Then the following are equivalent:

- $\text{vcd}(W) \leq 1$;
- $\text{cd}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q) \leq 1$
- (W, S) is completely spherically ∞ -decomposable.

Reduced expressions

and the length function ...



Buildings

Definition

A building is a simplicial complex \mathcal{B} which is the union of a family of simplicial subcomplexes \mathcal{A} which elements are called apartments with the following properties:

- B_1 Every apartment $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ is a Coxeter complex;
- B_2 For any two simplices $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is an apartment Σ containing both of them;
- B_3 For any two apartments $\Sigma, \Sigma' \in \mathcal{A}$ containing A and B there is an isomorphism $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ fixing A and B pointwise.

Remark

In the above definition "empty sets" are allowed.

Buildings, II

Remark

All apartments are isomorphic to a Coxeter complex of a fixed Coxeter group (W, S) . Moreover, the type function $|_|_ : C(W, S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\#(S)$ can be extended to a type function

$$|_|_ : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\#(S) \tag{1}$$

($\mathcal{P}^\# =$ proper subsets.)



Decompositions and Graphs

- Let $S_{\nabla/\blacktriangle} \subseteq S$ be a decomposition of the Coxeter system (W, S) , i.e., $S = S_{\blacktriangle} \cup S_{\nabla}$, and put $S_{\bullet} = S_{\blacktriangle} \cap S_{\nabla}$.
- Let \mathcal{B}_{\bullet} denote all elements in \mathcal{B} of co-type S_{\bullet} .
- For $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\bullet}$ let B^{∇} denote the face of B of cotype S_{∇} , and similar B^{\blacktriangle} the face of B of cotype S_{\blacktriangle} .
- Let $\Gamma_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ denote the graph (in the sense of J-P. Serre) given by

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{B}_{\nabla} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\blacktriangle},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}_{\bullet} \sqcup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bullet},$$

$$o|_{\mathcal{B}} = -^{\nabla}, \quad t|_{\mathcal{B}} = -^{\blacktriangle}.$$

Then $\Gamma_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ is a combinatorial graph. Moreover one has the following version of Ihara's theorem.

Theorem

Let $S_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ be a decomposition of the Coxeter system (W, S) associated to the building \mathcal{B} . Then the following are equivalent:

- $S_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ is an ∞ -decomposition of (W, S) ;
- $\Gamma_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ is a tree.

Topological Kac-Moody groups

of Rémy-Ronan type . . .

- Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field, and let $G(\mathbb{F})$ denote the \mathbb{F} -rational points of an almost split Kac-Moody group defined over \mathbb{F} with infinite Weyl group (W, S) .
- Let Ξ denote the twin building associated to $G(\mathbb{F})$, and Ξ^+ the positive part of Ξ .
- Let $\bar{G}(\mathbb{F})$ denote the completion of $G(\mathbb{F})$ with respect to its discrete action of the locally finite simplicial complex $|\Xi^+|$, where $|\Xi^+|$ is the Davis-realization of Ξ^+ . Then $\bar{G}(\mathbb{F})$ is a totally disconnected locally compact group, and the same is true for the set of type-preserving elements $\bar{G}^\circ(\mathbb{F})$.
- If $S_{\nabla/\blacktriangle}$ is an ∞ -decomposition of (W, S) , then - by Bass-Serre theory -

$$\bar{G}^\circ(\mathbb{F}) \simeq \bar{G}_{\blacktriangle}^\circ(\mathbb{F}) \amalg_{\bar{G}_{\bullet}^\circ(\mathbb{F})} \bar{G}_{\nabla}^\circ(\mathbb{F}).$$

- If W is of virtual cohomological dimension 1, then $\bar{G}^\circ(\mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a finite graph of "profinite groups" which edge maps are open embeddings.

The proof

or why is it true!!

- The principal idea of the proof the generalized Ihara theorem is to define maps between the set of minimal galleries $\text{mgal}^{\pm}(\mathcal{B})$ with signature of the building \mathcal{B} and the set $\mathcal{P}_r(\Gamma_{\blacktriangledown/\blacktriangle})$ of reduced paths in the graph $\Gamma_{\blacktriangledown/\blacktriangle}$, and to study their properties in order to be able to show surjectivity (by induction).