

# Weak Corings

Robert Wisbauer

University of Düsseldorf, Germany

e-mail: wisbauer@math.uni-duesseldorf.de

## Abstract

*Entwined structures*  $(A, C, \psi)$  were introduced by Brzeziński and Majid to study the interdependence of an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  and an  $R$ -coalgebra  $C$ ,  $R$  a commutative ring. It turned out that this relationship can also be expressed by the fact that  $A \otimes_R C$  has a canonical  $A$ -coring structure. More generally *weak entwined structures* and their modules were studied by Caenepeel and Groot and it was suggested by Caenepeel to relate these to *pre-corings*. Slightly modifying this notion we introduce *weak corings* and develop a general theory of comodules over such corings. In particular we obtain that  $(A, C, \psi)$  is a weak entwined structure if and only if  $A \otimes_R C$  is a weak  $A$ -coring (with canonical structure maps). *Weak bialgebras* in the sense of Böhm-Nill-Szlachányi are characterized as  $R$ -modules with an algebra and coalgebra structure  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  such that  $B \otimes_R B$  is a weak coring for the various coring structures induced by  $\mu$ ,  $\mu \circ \tau$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $\tau \circ \Delta$ . Moreover we will characterize *weak Hopf algebras* as those weak bialgebras  $B$ , which are generators for the comodules over  $(B \otimes_R B) \cdot 1$ .

## Introduction

Throughout the paper  $R$  will be an associative commutative ring with unit.

An  $R$ -algebra  $(A, \mu, \iota)$  and an  $R$ -coalgebra  $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  are said to be *entwined*, and  $(A, C, \psi)$  is said to be an *entwining structure* if there exists an  $R$ -linear map

$$\psi : C \otimes_R A \rightarrow A \otimes_R C,$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ (I \otimes \mu) &= (\mu \otimes I) \circ (I \otimes \psi) \circ (\psi \otimes I), & \psi \circ (I \otimes \iota) &= \iota \otimes I, \\ (I \otimes \Delta) \circ \psi &= (\psi \otimes I) \circ (I \otimes \psi) \circ (\Delta \otimes I), & (I \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \psi &= \varepsilon \otimes I, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I$  denotes the appropriate identity maps. In [4] these conditions are displayed in a nice bow-tie diagram. A similar "entwining" of two algebras is considered in Tambara [12].

Entwining structures are introduced in Brzeziński-Majid [2] to develop a theory of "coalgebra principal bundles" and the associated modules are defined in Brzeziński [3] as right  $A$ -modules with a coaction  $\varrho : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R C$  such that

$$\varrho(m \cdot a) = \sum m_{\underline{0}} \psi(m_{\underline{1}} \otimes a), \quad \text{for } m \in M, a \in A.$$

Although these structures are very useful and manageable there is no immediate evidence from the algebraic point of view why they are of such interest. This evidence is provided in [5] by the observation that  $(A, C, \psi)$  is an entwining structure if and only if  $A \otimes_R C$  has an  $A$ -coring structure given by the comultiplication

$$\underline{\Delta} := I_{\otimes} \Delta : A \otimes_R C \rightarrow A \otimes_R C \otimes_R C \simeq (A \otimes_R C) \otimes_A (A \otimes_R C),$$

and the counit  $\underline{\varepsilon} := I_{\otimes} \varepsilon : A \otimes_R C \rightarrow A$ , where  $A \otimes_R C$  has the canonical  $A$ -module structure on the left, and the right  $A$ -action

$$(1_{\otimes} c) \cdot a = \psi(c \otimes a), \quad \text{for } a \in A, c \in C.$$

In particular, an  $R$ -module  $B$  with an algebra and a coalgebra structure is a *bialgebra* if and only if the construction just described makes  $B \otimes_R B$  a  $B$ -coring (resp.  $(B, B, \psi)$  an entwining structure), where the right  $B$ -action is

$$(1_{\otimes} c) \cdot b = (1_{\otimes} c) \Delta(b) (= \psi(b \otimes c)), \quad \text{for } b \in B, c \in C.$$

Motivated by problems in quantum field theory and operator algebras the notion of bialgebras was extended to *weak bialgebras* by Böhm, Nill and Szlachányi [10, 1]. To relate these with the notions mentioned before, *weak entwining structures*  $(A, C, \psi)$  and their (co-)modules were introduced and investigated in Caenepeel-Groot [6]. It is pointed out in Brzeziński [5] that the category of (co-)modules over weak entwining structures can be identified with the category of comodules over a suitable coring.

By ideas of Caenepeel (see [5, Section 6]) the interpretation of entwining structures as corings can be extended to weak entwining structures and *pre-corings*: These are  $(A, A)$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{C}$ , unital as left  $A$ -module, with an  $(A, A)$ -bimodule map  $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  satisfying the coassociativity condition, and a left  $A$ -module map  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$  with the property  $\varepsilon(c \cdot a) = \varepsilon(c \cdot 1)a$ , for  $a \in A, c \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Because of the obvious importance of pre-corings it is suggested in [5] to study the general properties of these structures. This is the motivation for the present paper.

Slightly modifying the definition of pre-corings we introduce, in Section 1, *weak A-corings*  $\mathcal{C}$  where "weak" indicates the fact that  $\mathcal{C}$  need not be unital as  $A$ -module - neither on the left nor on the right side. The corresponding notion of *weak comodules* is defined and their category is considered.

A weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$  which happens to be unital as left  $A$ -module is (essentially) a pre-coring (as defined above), and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a coring provided it is unital both as left and right  $A$ -module. In the definition of (right) weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules  $M$ , we allow  $M$  to be non-unital as  $A$ -module and hence we will have  $A\mathcal{C}$  as a right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule. This differs from the approach in [6] and [5].

In Section 2 we ask when  $A$  itself is a comodule over the  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is the case if and only if there exists a group-like element in  $ACA$ , and the *coinvariants* of any weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule  $M$  are introduced as the images of 1 under the comodule morphisms  $A \rightarrow M$ . The notion of a *Galois weak A-coring* is defined and it is shown how these are related to equivalences between the comodules over  $ACA$  and the modules over the coinvariants (see 2.5).

As for coalgebras and for corings, the dual algebra  ${}^*\mathcal{C} = \text{Hom}_{A-}(\mathcal{C}, A)$  plays a prominent role for weak corings. This is investigated in Section 3. Every right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule may be considered as right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module and in case  $A\mathcal{C}$  is projective as a left  $A$ -module, for any right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule the  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule structure and the  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module structure coincide. Some results shown for coalgebras in [14] are extended and a *finiteness theorem* for weak comodules is proved (see 3.8). Notice that here  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$  need not have a unit.

Given an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  and an  $R$ -coalgebra  $C$ , a comultiplication is defined on  $A \otimes_R C$  in a canonical way (see Section 4) and it is shown that this yields a weak  $A$ -coring if and only if there exists a weak entwining map  $\psi : C \otimes_R A \rightarrow A \otimes_R C$  (as considered in Caenepeel-Groot [6]). In this case the dual algebra  ${}^*(A \otimes_R C) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(C, A)$  yields the (Doi-Koppinen) smash product (see 4.2).

In Section 5 we finally consider an  $R$ -module  $B$  which is an algebra and a coalgebra  $\Delta : B \rightarrow B \otimes_R B$ , with  $\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)\Delta(b)$ , for  $a, b \in B$ . We show that  $B$  is a *weak bialgebra* (in the sense of Böhm, Nill, Szlachányi [1]) if and only if  $B \otimes_R B$  is a weak  $B$ -coring both with respect to  $\Delta$  and  $\tau \circ \Delta$  (where  $\tau$  is the twist map). Moreover *weak Hopf algebras* are characterized as those bialgebras  $B$ , which are generators in the category of right comodules over  $(B \otimes_R B) \cdot 1$  (see 5.12).

The papers on weak Hopf algebras mostly consider finite dimensional algebras over fields. Here we are working with algebras and coalgebras over any commutative ring  $R$  without finiteness conditions. For explicit examples and applications we refer to [5], [1], [6], and the references given there.

# 1 Weak corings

Throughout  $A$  will be an associative ring with unit  $1$  (or  $1_A$ ). In module theory usually the category of unital  $A$ -modules is considered. It has turned out that for some applications non-unital modules are of interest and hence we recall some elementary properties of non-unital modules over unital rings.

**1.1. Non-unital modules.** By  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$  (resp.  ${}_A\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ) we denote the category of all (not necessarily unital) right (left)  $A$ -modules while  $\mathcal{M}_A$  and  ${}_A\mathcal{M}$  denote the corresponding subcategories of unital  $A$ -modules. For any module  $M$  the identity map is denoted by  $I_M$  or just by  $I$  if no confusion arises.

We write  ${}_A\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_B$  for the category of  $(A, B)$ -bimodules,  $B$  an associative ring, which need not be unital neither on the left nor on the right, i.e., for any  $M \in {}_A\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_B$  and  $m \in M$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ , we have  $(am)b = a(mb)$  but possibly  $m1_B \neq m$  or  $1_A m \neq m$ . The subcategory of those bimodules which are left and right unital is denoted by  ${}_A\mathcal{M}_B$ .

For  $M, N \in {}_A\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_B$ , the set of bimodule morphisms  $M \rightarrow N$  will be denoted by  $\text{Hom}_{AB}(M, N)$  and we will write  $\text{Hom}_{A-}(M, N)$  or  $\text{Hom}_{-B}(M, N)$  for the left  $A$ -module or right  $B$ -module morphisms, respectively.

For any  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$  there is a splitting  $A$ -epimorphism

$$-\otimes 1 : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A A, \quad m \mapsto m \otimes 1,$$

which is injective (bijective) if and only if  $M$  is a unital  $A$ -module. We have canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_A A &\rightarrow MA, & m \otimes a &\mapsto ma, \text{ and} \\ \text{Hom}_A(A, M) &\rightarrow MA, & f &\mapsto f(1), \end{aligned}$$

and we will identify these modules if appropriate. In particular,  $MA = M1$ .

For any  $A$ -module morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , the map  $f \otimes I : M \otimes_A A \rightarrow N \otimes_A A$  can be identified with the restriction  $f|_{MA} : MA \rightarrow NA$  which we will usually also denote by the symbol  $f$ . We have a functor

$$-\otimes_A A : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A, \quad M \mapsto M \otimes_A A, \quad f \mapsto f \otimes I,$$

which is left (right) adjoint to itself, i.e., for any  $M, N \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_A(M \otimes A, N) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(M \otimes A, N \otimes A) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes A).$$

Since  $A$  is a unital  $A$ -module this implies  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(MA, A)$ .

Of course we have - and will use - the corresponding properties for  $A \otimes_A -$  and left  $A$ -modules. For any  $M \in {}_A\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ , this induces a splitting  $(A, A)$ -morphism

$$1_{\otimes} - \otimes 1 : M \rightarrow A \otimes_A M \otimes_A A \simeq AMA, \quad m \mapsto 1_{\otimes} m \otimes 1 \quad (= 1m1),$$

and the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{AA}(M, A) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{AA}(MA, A) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{AA}(AMA, A).$$

**1.2. Weak  $A$ -corings.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $(A, A)$ -bimodule. An  $(A, A)$ -bilinear map

$$\underline{\Delta} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$$

is called a *weak comultiplication*. For  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  we write  $\underline{\Delta}(c) = \sum c_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1 \otimes c_{\underline{2}}$ .

An  $(A, A)$ -bilinear map  $\underline{\varepsilon} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$  is called *weak counit* (for  $\underline{\Delta}$ ) provided we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{C} & & \\ & \swarrow \underline{\Delta} & & \searrow \underline{\Delta} & \\ \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} & & & & \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \\ & \searrow \underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I & \downarrow 1_{\otimes} - \otimes 1 & \swarrow I \otimes \underline{\varepsilon} & \\ & & \mathcal{C} & & \end{array} .$$

In our notation this means

$$1c1 = \sum \underline{\varepsilon}(c_{\underline{1}})c_{\underline{2}} = \sum c_{\underline{1}}\underline{\varepsilon}(c_{\underline{2}}).$$

We call  $\mathcal{C}$  a *weak coring* provided it has a weak comultiplication  $\underline{\Delta}$  and a weak counit  $\underline{\varepsilon}$ .

An  $(A, A)$ -submodule  $D \subset \mathcal{C}$  which is pure as a left and right  $A$ -submodule is called a *weak subcoring* provided  $\underline{\Delta}(D) \subset D \otimes_A A \otimes_A D$ .

The weak comultiplication  $\underline{\Delta}$  is *coassociative* if we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\Delta}} & \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \\ \underline{\Delta} \downarrow & & \downarrow I \otimes I \otimes \underline{\Delta} \\ \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\Delta} \otimes I \otimes I} & \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}, \end{array}$$

which is expressed by the equality

$$\sum c_{\underline{1}\underline{1}} \otimes 1 \otimes c_{\underline{1}\underline{2}} \otimes 1 \otimes c_{\underline{2}} = \sum c_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1 \otimes c_{\underline{2}\underline{1}} \otimes 1 \otimes c_{\underline{2}\underline{2}}.$$

A weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *right (left) unital* provided  $\mathcal{C}$  is unital as a right (left)  $A$ -module, and  $\mathcal{C}$  is called  *$A$ -coring* provided  $\mathcal{C}$  is unital both as a left and right  $A$ -module. In this case  $\mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  as bimodules, we have the (more familiar) notation  $\underline{\Delta} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  for the comultiplication, and the diagram for the counit simplifies to

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{C} & \\
 \swarrow \underline{\Delta} & & \searrow \underline{\Delta} \\
 \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} & & \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \\
 \searrow \underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I & \downarrow I_{\mathcal{C}} & \swarrow I \otimes \underline{\varepsilon} \\
 & \mathcal{C} &
 \end{array}$$

This shows that for any  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\underline{\Delta}$  splits as an  $(A, A)$ -bimodule morphism.

An  $A$ -coring is said to be an  *$A$ -coalgebra* if  $A$  is commutative and the left and right action of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  coincide (i.e.,  $ca = ac$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $a \in A$ ).

Notice that left unital  $A$ -corings are essentially the  *$A$ -pre-corings* introduced by S. Caenepeel (see [5, Section 6]).

The following observations are immediate consequences of the definitions.

**1.3. Proposition.** *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \underline{\Delta}, \underline{\varepsilon})$  be a weak  $A$ -coring. Then*

- (1)  $(\mathcal{C}A, \underline{\Delta}, \underline{\varepsilon})$  is a (right unital) weak  $A$ -coring;
- (2)  $(AC, \underline{\Delta}, \underline{\varepsilon})$  is a (left unital) weak  $A$ -coring;
- (3)  $(ACA, \underline{\Delta}, \underline{\varepsilon})$  is an  $A$ -coring.

For any weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ , the  $A$ -linear maps  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$  have ring structures which we are going to describe now. Notice the canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{C}^* &:= \text{Hom}_{-A}(\mathcal{C}, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{-A}(\mathcal{C}A, A), \\
 (AC)^* &:= \text{Hom}_{-A}(AC, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{-A}(ACA, A), \\
 {}^*\mathcal{C} &:= \text{Hom}_{A-}(\mathcal{C}, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{A-}(AC, A), \\
 {}^*(\mathcal{C}A) &:= \text{Hom}_{A-}(\mathcal{C}A, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{A-}(ACA, A), \\
 {}^*\mathcal{C}^* &:= \text{Hom}_{AA}(\mathcal{C}, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{AA}(ACA, A) = {}^*\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

**1.4. Multiplication on  $\text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{C}, A)$ .** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weak  $A$ -coring.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{C}^*$  has a ring structure given by the (convolution) product, for  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^*$ ,

$$f *_r g : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\underline{\Delta}} \mathcal{C}A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{g \otimes I} A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \simeq AC \xrightarrow{f} A,$$

$$\text{i.e., } f *_r g(c) = \sum f(g(c_1)c_2).$$

$$\underline{\varepsilon} \text{ is a central idempotent in } \mathcal{C}^* \text{ and } (AC)^* = \underline{\varepsilon} *_r \mathcal{C}^*.$$

(2)  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$  has a ring structure given by the product, for  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^*$ ,

$$f *_l g : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{I \otimes f} \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \simeq \mathcal{C}A \xrightarrow{g} A,$$

i.e.,  $f *_l g(c) = \sum g(c_1 f(c_2))$ .

$\underline{\varepsilon}$  is a central idempotent in  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$  and  ${}^*(\mathcal{C}A) \simeq \underline{\varepsilon} *_l {}^*\mathcal{C}$ .

(3)  ${}^*\mathcal{C}^*$  is a ring with multiplication, for  $f, g \in {}^*\mathcal{C}^*$ ,

$$f * g : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{g \otimes I \otimes f} A,$$

i.e.,  $f * g(c) = \sum g(c_1) f(c_2)$ , with unit  $\underline{\varepsilon}$ .

(4) If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a coassociative weak  $A$ -coring, then all these rings are associative.

*Proof.* (1) For any  $f \in \mathcal{C}^*$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f *_r \underline{\varepsilon}(c) &= \sum f(\underline{\varepsilon}(c_1)c_2) = f(1c1), \quad \text{and} \\ \underline{\varepsilon} *_r f(c) &= \sum \underline{\varepsilon}(f(c_1)c_2) = \sum f(c_1)\underline{\varepsilon}(c_2) = \sum f(c_1\underline{\varepsilon}(c_2)) = f(1c1). \end{aligned}$$

(2) is symmetric to (1), and (3) follows from (1) and (2).

(4) This can be verified by direct computation.  $\square$

So for any  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ , the rings  $\mathcal{C}^*$ ,  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$  and  ${}^*\mathcal{C}^*$  have unit  $\underline{\varepsilon}$ . This was already observed in [11, Proposition 3.2]. In case  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $A$ -coalgebra ( $A$  commutative) we have  ${}^*\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^*$  and the above results are well known facts about the dual algebra of a coalgebra.

**1.5. Weak comodules.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weak  $A$ -coring and  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ . An  $A$ -linear map  $\varrho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  is called a *weak coaction* on  $M$ , and it is said to be *weakly counital*, provided the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varrho_M} & M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \\ & \searrow -\otimes 1 & \downarrow I \otimes \underline{\varepsilon} \\ & & M \otimes_A A. \end{array}$$

$\varrho_M$  is said to be *coassociative* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varrho_M} & M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \varrho_M & & \downarrow I \otimes \Delta \\ M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\varrho_M \otimes I} & M \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

is commutative. For  $m \in M$  we write  $\varrho_M(m) = \sum m_{\underline{0}} \otimes 1 \otimes m_{\underline{1}}$ .

With this notation coassociativity of  $\varrho_M$  corresponds to the equality

$$\sum m_{\underline{0}} \otimes 1 \otimes \underline{\Delta}(m_{\underline{1}}) = \sum \varrho_M(m_{\underline{0}}) \otimes 1 \otimes m_{\underline{1}},$$

and weak counitality of  $\varrho_M$  is expressed by

$$m1 = \sum m_{\underline{0}} \underline{\varepsilon}(m_{\underline{1}}).$$

Clearly, in case  $M$  is a unital  $A$ -module we have  $(I_{M \otimes \underline{\varepsilon}}) \circ \varrho_M = I_M$ .

For a coassociative weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ , an (non-unital)  $A$ -module  $M$  with a counital coassociative coaction is called a *right (weak)  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule*.

An  $A$ -submodule  $K \subset M$  is a *weak subcomodule* if

$$\varrho_M(K) \subset K \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \subset M \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}.$$

*Left weak coactions* and *left weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules* etc. are defined in a symmetric way.

Notice that any weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$  has a left and a right coaction (by  $\underline{\Delta}$ ) which, however, need not be weakly counital. On the other side, it is easy to see that the obvious right (left)  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction on  $A\mathcal{C}$  (on  $\mathcal{C}A$ ) is weakly counital. In particular, for any coassociative weak  $A$ -coring,  $A\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}A$  are right and left weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules, respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $A$ -coring. Then a right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule  $M$  is called a *right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule* provided  $MA = M$ , i.e.,  $M$  is a unital right  $A$ -module. As mentioned above, this implies  $(I_{M \otimes \underline{\varepsilon}}) \circ \varrho_M = I_M$ .

**1.6. Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be a right weak comodule over the coassociative weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then:*

- (1)  $MA$  is a weak comodule over  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- (2)  $MA$  is a weak comodule over the (left unital) weak  $A$ -coring  $A\mathcal{C}$ ;
- (3)  $MA$  is a weak comodule over the (right unital) weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}A$ ;
- (4)  $MA$  is a comodule over the  $A$ -coring  $A\mathcal{C}A$ .

Notice that - in contrast to comodules - the structure map  $\varrho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  of weak comodules need not be injective even if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a coring. For example, considering  $A$  as an  $A$ -coring (by  $\underline{\Delta} : A \simeq A \otimes_A A$ ,  $\underline{\varepsilon} = I_A$ ), every right  $A$ -module  $M$  is a weak  $A$ -comodule by the map  $-\otimes 1 : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A A$ , which is not injective unless  $M$  is unital.



**1.7. Morphisms.** A *morphism* of modules with weak coaction  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is an  $A$ -linear map such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \downarrow \rho_M & & \downarrow \rho_N \\ M \otimes_A AC & \xrightarrow{f \otimes I} & N \otimes_A AC \end{array}$$

commutes, which means  $\rho_N \circ f = (f \otimes I) \circ \rho_M$ .

The set  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$  of morphisms of modules with weak coaction is an abelian group, and by definition it is determined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_A AC),$$

where  $\gamma(f) := \rho_N \circ f - (f \otimes I) \circ \rho_M$ .

For weak comodules, morphisms respecting the coactions are called *comodule morphisms*. The following observations are easy to verify.

**1.8. Weak coaction and tensor products.** Let  $X$  be any unital right  $A$ -module. Let  $M \in {}_A\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$  with a right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction  $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A AC$ .

(1)  $X \otimes_A M$  has a right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction

$$I \otimes \rho_M : X \otimes_A M \longrightarrow X \otimes_A M \otimes_A AC,$$

and for any  $A$ -module morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,

$$f \otimes I : X \otimes_A M \rightarrow Y \otimes_A M$$

is a morphism of modules with weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction.

(2) In particular,  $X \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  is a right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule by

$$I \otimes \underline{\Delta} : X \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \simeq X \otimes_A AC \longrightarrow X \otimes_A AC \otimes_A AC,$$

and  $f \otimes I : X \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Y \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  is a morphism of modules with weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction.

(3) For any index set  $\Lambda$ , the module with right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction  $A^{(\Lambda)} \otimes_A AC$  is isomorphic to  $AC^{(\Lambda)}$ .

(4) Assume  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\rho_M$  to be coassociative. Then  $X \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  and  $X \otimes_A M$  are right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules and  $\rho_M$  is a comodule morphism.

**1.9. Kernels and cokernels.** Let  $f : K \rightarrow M$  be a morphism of right  $A$ -modules with weak coaction. So we have an exact commutative diagram in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \rho_K & & \downarrow \rho_M & & & & \\ K \otimes_A AC & \xrightarrow{f \otimes I} & M \otimes_A AC & \xrightarrow{g \otimes I} & N \otimes_A AC & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By the cokernel property of  $N$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ , this can be completed commutatively by some  $A$ -linear map  $\varrho_N : N \rightarrow N \otimes_A AC$ , i.e., we have a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction on  $N$ , and - by construction -  $g$  is a morphism for modules with weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction. This shows that  $f$  has a cokernel which is a morphism of modules with weak coaction.

The existence of a kernel of  $f$  can be shown in a similar way provided the functor  $- \otimes_A AC$  respects monomorphisms, i.e.,  $AC$  is flat as a left  $A$ -module.

For a coassociative weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ , the class of weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules together with the  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule morphisms form an additive category which we denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

For a coassociative  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$  we only consider (weak) comodules which are unital as  $A$ -modules and the category of these is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

We summarize the above observations.

**1.10. The category  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a coassociative weak  $A$ -coring.*

(1) *The category  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$  has direct sums and cokernels.*

*It has kernels provided  $AC$  is flat as a left  $A$ -module.*

(2) *For the functor  $- \otimes_A \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$  we have the natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(MA, X \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(MA, X), \quad f \mapsto (I_{\otimes \varepsilon}) \circ f,$$

*for  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{M}_A$ , with inverse map  $h \mapsto (h \otimes I) \circ \varrho_M$ .*

(3) *The functor  $- \otimes_A \mathcal{C} A : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$  is right adjoint to  $- \otimes_A A : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ .*

(4) *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a coring, then  $- \otimes_A \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$  is right adjoint to the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ .*

*Proof.* (1) It is easy to check that coproducts in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$  yield coproducts in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$  in an obvious way. The rest is clear by the preceding remarks.

(2) For  $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(MA, X)$ , the composition

$$MA \xrightarrow{\varrho_M} MA \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{h \otimes I} X \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{I_{\otimes \varepsilon}} X$$

yields the map  $h$ .

Let  $f \in \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(MA, X \otimes_A \mathcal{C})$  and put  $h = (I_{\otimes} \underline{\varepsilon}) \circ f$ . Then the composition

$$MA \xrightarrow{q_M} MA \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{h \otimes I} X \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$$

yields the map  $f$ . Thus the given assignments are inverse to each other.

Any  $A$ -morphism  $M \rightarrow N$  of right  $A$ -modules induces a morphism  $MA \rightarrow NA$  and so it is easy to see that the isomorphism is natural in both arguments.

(3) This follows from (2) by the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(MA, X \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, X \otimes_A \mathcal{C}A).$$

(4) is a consequence of (3). It is also shown in [5, Lemma 3.1].  $\square$

Putting  $X = A$  and  $M = AC$  we obtain the

**1.11. Corollary.** *For any weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ , there are ring isomorphisms*

$$\text{End}^{-\mathcal{C}}(ACA) \simeq (AC)^*, \quad \text{End}^{\mathcal{C}-}(ACA) \simeq {}^*(CA),$$

which are both given by  $f \mapsto \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f$ .

*Proof.* By 1.10, the map

$$\text{End}^{-\mathcal{C}}(ACA) \simeq \text{Hom}_{-A}(ACA, A) = (AC)^*, \quad f \mapsto \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f,$$

is an isomorphism of abelian groups. Moreover, for  $f, g \in \text{End}^{-\mathcal{C}}(ACA)$  and  $c \in ACA$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\varepsilon} \circ f) *_r (\underline{\varepsilon} \circ g)(c) &= \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(\underline{\varepsilon} \circ g(c_1)c_2) \\ &= \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f((\underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I) \circ (g \otimes I) \circ \underline{\Delta}(c)) \\ &= \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f((\underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I) \circ \underline{\Delta} \circ g(c)) \\ &= \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(g(c)) = \underline{\varepsilon} \circ (f \circ g)(c). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

To end this section we notice some elementary properties of the  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}$ -functors.

**1.12. Exactness of the  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}$ -functor.** *Let  ${}_A\mathcal{C}$  be flat and  $M, N \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then:*

- (1)  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(-, N) : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$  is left exact.
- (2)  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, -) : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$  is left exact.
- (3) If  $A$  is right  $A$ -injective then  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(-, ACA) : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$  is exact.

*Proof.* (1) Any exact sequence  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$  yields a commutative diagram with exact columns,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow & \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(Z, N) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(Y, N) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(X, N) & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(Z, N) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(Y, N) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(X, N) & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(Z, N \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(Y, N \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(X, N \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}). & 
\end{array}$$

The second and third row are exact because of the exactness properties of  $\text{Hom}_A$ . Now diagram lemmata imply exactness of the first row.

(2) is shown with a similar diagram.

(3) This is a consequence of the functorial isomorphism in 1.10.  $\square$

## 2 $A$ as weak $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule, coinvariants

For a given  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ , in general  $A$  need not be a weak comodule over  $\mathcal{C}$ . If this is the case it will be of special interest when  $A$  is a generator in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ . First we describe the general situation.

**2.1.  $A$  as weak comodule.** *For any weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A$  is a right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule;
- (b)  $A$  is a right  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}$ -comodule;
- (c) there exists a group-like element  $g \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}$  (i.e.,  $\underline{\Delta}(g) = g \otimes_A g$  and  $\underline{\varepsilon}(g) = 1$ ).

*Proof.* (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) Let  $\varrho_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  be a coaction which makes  $A$  a right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule. Then  $\text{Im } \varrho_A \subset \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}$  and so  $A$  is a right  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}$ -comodule.

The converse implication is trivial.

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) Since  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}$  is an  $A$ -coring the assertion follows by [5, Lemma 5.1]. Notice that for a group-like  $g \in \mathcal{C}$ , the coaction on  $A$  is given by

$$\varrho_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}, \quad a \mapsto 1 \otimes g \cdot a (= g \cdot a).$$

$\square$

If  $A, M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ , any comodule morphism  $f : A \rightarrow M$  is uniquely determined by the image of  $1_A \in A$  and this explains the importance of the

**2.2. Coinvariants.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weak  $A$ -coring with group-like element  $g \in AC A$ .

(1) The coinvariants of any  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$  are defined by

$$M^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} = \{f(1) \mid f \in \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, M)\} = \{m \in MA \mid \varrho_M(m) = m \otimes 1 \otimes g\}.$$

(2) In particular, for  $M = A$  we have a subring

$$A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} = \{f(1) \mid f \in \text{End}^{\mathcal{C}}(A)\} = \{a \in A \mid g \cdot a = a \cdot g\} \subset A.$$

(3) The map  $\text{End}^{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}, f \mapsto f(1)$ , is a ring isomorphism, and

$$\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, M) \rightarrow M^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}, \quad f \mapsto f(1),$$

is a right  $A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ -module isomorphism, for  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

(4)  $(N \otimes_A AC)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} \simeq \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, N \otimes_A AC A) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(A, NA) \simeq NA$ ,  
for any  $N \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ , with the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_N : \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, N \otimes_A AC A) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, NA) \rightarrow NA, \\ f &\mapsto (I_{\otimes \underline{\varepsilon}}) \circ f \mapsto (I_{\otimes \underline{\varepsilon}}) \circ f(1). \end{aligned}$$

(5)  $(AC)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} \simeq \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, AC A) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(A, A) \simeq A$ , with the maps

$$\varphi_A : \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, A \otimes_A AC A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, A) \rightarrow A, \quad f \mapsto \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f \mapsto \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(1).$$

*Proof.* Most of these assertions are obvious. To prove (4) we refer to 1.10.  $\square$

The standard Hom-tensor relation yields (compare [5, Proposition 5.2]):

**2.3. The coinvariant functor.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weak  $A$ -coring and  $A$  a right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule. Putting  $B = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ , for any  $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$  and  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ , there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(N \otimes_B A, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_B(N, \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, M)),$$

showing that the functor

$$(-)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} = \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, -) : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B, \quad M \mapsto M^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}},$$

is right adjoint to the induction functor  $- \otimes_B A : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ , where the  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule structure of  $N \otimes_B A$  is given by  $I_{\otimes \varrho_A}$ .

Clearly, if  ${}_A \mathcal{C}$  is flat, then  $(-)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$  is an exact functor if and only if  $A$  is a projective object in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**2.4. Galois  $A$ -corings.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weak  $A$ -coring with group-like element  $g \in ACA$ , and put  $B = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *right Galois* if the canonical map

$$\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, AC) \otimes_B A \rightarrow ACA, \quad f \otimes a \mapsto f(a),$$

is an isomorphism.

By the isomorphisms considered in 2.2(5), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, A \otimes_A ACA) \otimes_B A & \rightarrow & ACA & & f \otimes b & \mapsto & f(b) \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \downarrow \varphi_A \otimes I & & & & & & \\ A \otimes_B A & \rightarrow & ACA, & \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(1) \otimes b & \mapsto & \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(1) \cdot g \cdot b, \end{array}$$

is commutative since (recall that  $g = \varrho_A(1)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(1) \cdot g \cdot b &= \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(1) \cdot \varrho_A(b) = \underline{\varepsilon} \circ f(b_{\underline{0}})b_{\underline{1}} \\ &= (\underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I) \circ (f \otimes I) \varrho_A(b) \\ \text{property of } f &= (\underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I) \circ \underline{\Delta}(f(b)) = f(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{C}$  is right Galois if and only if the canonical map

$$\gamma : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow ACA, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a \cdot \varrho_A(1) \cdot b,$$

is an isomorphism. It is obvious from this definition that the weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$  is right Galois if and only if the  $A$ -coring  $ACA$  is right Galois and this condition coincides with Definition 5.3 in [5].

Notice that  $A \otimes_B A$  may be considered as an  $A$ -coring in a canonical way and it is straightforward to verify that the canonical map  $\gamma$  is in fact an  $A$ -coring morphism (see [11, Example 1.2, Definition 1.3]).

The interest in Galois objects lies in the following observation.

**2.5.  $A$  as a (projective) generator in  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$ .** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a weak  $A$ -coring with group-like element  $g \in ACA$  and put  $B = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ .

(1) *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{C}$  is right Galois, and  $A$  is flat as left  $B$ -module;
- (b)  $AC$  is flat as left  $A$ -module, and  $A$  is a generator in  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$  is a Grothendieck category, and  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, -) : \mathcal{M}^{ACA} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B$  is a faithful functor;
- (d)  $AC$  is flat as left  $A$ -module, and for any  $M \in \mathcal{M}^{ACA}$ , the map

$$M^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} \otimes_B A \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes a \mapsto ma,$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{C}$  is right Galois, and  $A$  is faithfully flat as left  $B$ -module;
- (b)  $AC$  is flat as left  $A$ -module, and  $A$  is a projective generator in  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$  is a Grothendieck category, and  $\text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(A, -) : \mathcal{M}^{ACA} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}B$  is an equivalence.

*Proof.* (1) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) If  ${}_B A$  is flat then the functor  $- \otimes_A (A \otimes_B A) \simeq - \otimes_A ACA$  is exact, i.e.,  $AC$  is flat as left  $A$ -module. The first part of the proof of [5, Theorem 5.6] (also [8, 2.5]) shows that  $A$  is a generator in  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$ .

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) This is a well-known characterization of generators in any category.  $AC$  flat as  $A$ -module implies that  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$  is a Grothendieck category (see 1.10).

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) In a Grothendieck category any generator is flat as module over its endomorphism ring (e.g., [13, 15.9]). In particular  $A$  is a flat  $B$ -module.

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d) This is easily shown by standard arguments.

(2) By (1),  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$  is a Grothendieck category. Therefore a finitely generated generator  $P$  in  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$  is projective in  $\mathcal{M}^{ACA}$  if and only if  $P$  is faithfully flat as module over its endomorphism ring (e.g., [13, 18.5]). Moreover, for such modules  $P$ ,  $\text{Hom}^{ACA}(P, -)$  induces an equivalence (e.g., [13, 46.2]).  $\square$

### 3 $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules and ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -modules

For any coalgebra  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules are closely related to modules over the dual algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$ . To a certain extent this transfers to weak corings and comodules. Before studying this we recall some basic facts.

**3.1. Canonical maps.** For any left  $A$ -module  $K$  and right  $A$ -module  $N$ , consider the canonical map

$$\alpha'_{N,K} : N \otimes_A K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^*, N), \quad n \otimes k \mapsto [f \mapsto nf(k)].$$

It is easy to see that this map factors through  $N \otimes_A AK$  yielding a map

$$\alpha_{N,K} : N \otimes_A AK \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^*, NA).$$

(1) *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\alpha_{N,K}$  is injective;
- (b) for  $u \in N \otimes_A AK$ ,  $(I \otimes f)(u) = 0$  for all  $f \in K^*$ , implies  $u = 0$ .

(2) If  $\alpha_{N,K}$  is injective for each right  $A$ -module  $N$ , then  $AK$  is flat and cogenerated by  $A$ .

(3) If  $AK$  is a projective  $A$ -module, then  $\alpha_{N,K}$  is injective, for each  $N \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $u = \sum n_i \otimes k_i \in N \otimes_A AK$ . Then  $(I \otimes f)(u) = \sum n_i f(k_i) = 0$ , for all  $f \in K^*$ , if and only if  $u \in \text{Ke } \alpha_{N,K}$ .

(2) For any exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M$  of unital right  $A$ -modules, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & N \otimes_A AK & \rightarrow & M \otimes_A AK \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_{N,K} & & \downarrow \alpha_{M,K} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^*, N) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^*, M). \end{array}$$

The exactness of the second line implies exactness of the first line thus showing that  $AK$  is flat.

Notice that  $A \otimes_A AK \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,K}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^*, A) \subset A^{K^*}$ .

(3) For a dual basis  $\{(p_i, k_i) \mid p_i \in (AK)^*, k_i \in AK\}_I$ , let  $\sum_i n_i \otimes k_i \in \text{Ke } \alpha_{N,K}$ . Then

$$\sum_i n_i \otimes k_i = \sum_i n_i \otimes \sum_l p_l(k_i) k_l = \sum_l (\sum_i n_i p_l(k_i)) \otimes k_l = 0,$$

since  $\sum_i n_i p_l(k_i) = 0$ , for each  $l$ , showing that  $\alpha_{N,K}$  is injective.  $\square$

To transfer properties of  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -modules to weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules the following conditions on the  $A$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{C}$  is necessary.

**3.2.  $\alpha$ -condition for weak corings.** We say that a weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left (right)  $\alpha$ -condition if the map

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{N,\mathcal{C}} : N \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^*\mathcal{C}, NA), & n \otimes c &\mapsto [f \mapsto nf(c)], \\ (\alpha_{\mathcal{C},L} : \mathcal{C}A \otimes_A L &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C}^*, AL), & c \otimes l &\mapsto [g \mapsto g(c)l],) \end{aligned}$$

is injective for every right  $A$ -module  $N$  (left  $A$ -module  $L$ ).

By 3.1(3),  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left (right)  $\alpha$ -condition provided  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}A$ ) is projective as a left (right)  $A$ -module.

**3.3.  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction and  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action.**

(1) Let  $\varrho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  be a weak coaction. Then

$$\leftarrow : M \otimes_A {}^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes f \mapsto (I \otimes f) \circ \varrho(m),$$

defines a right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action on  $M$ .



- (2) Every  $A$ -submodule  $K \subset M$  with coaction is a submodule with  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action.
- (3) If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left  $\alpha$ -condition, then every submodule closed under  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action has  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction.
- (4) Let  $h : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $A$ -linear map of modules with right  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction.
- (i) If  $h$  is a morphism for right  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction, then  $h$  is a morphism for right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left  $\alpha$ -condition and  $h$  is a morphism for left  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action, then  $h$  is a morphism for right  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction.

*Proof.* The assertions in (1) and (2) are straightforward to verify.

(3) Let  $K \subset M$  be a submodule with  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action and consider the map

$$\beta_K : K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^*\mathcal{C}, K), \quad k \mapsto [f \mapsto kf].$$

Notice that  $\beta_M = \alpha_{M,\mathcal{C}} \circ \varrho_M$ . We have the commutative diagram with exact lines

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{i} & M & \xrightarrow{p} & M/K & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & \downarrow \varrho_M & & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & K \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{i \otimes I} & M \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{p \otimes I} & M/K \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_{K,\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow \alpha_{M,\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow \alpha_{M/K,\mathcal{C}} & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^*\mathcal{C}, K) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}({}^*\mathcal{C}, i)} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^*\mathcal{C}, M) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^*\mathcal{C}, M/K) & \rightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where all the  $\alpha$ 's are injective and  $\text{Hom}({}^*\mathcal{C}, i) \circ \beta_K = \alpha_{M,\mathcal{C}} \circ \varrho \circ i$ . This implies that  $(p \otimes I) \circ \varrho_M \circ i = 0$ , and by the kernel property (in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ ),  $\varrho_M \circ i$  factors through  $K \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}$ , i.e., we have a coaction  $K \rightarrow K \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}$ .

Obviously the diagram yields a coaction on  $M/K$ , too.

(4) Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{h} & N \\ \downarrow \varrho_M & & \downarrow \varrho_N \\ M \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{h \otimes I} & N \otimes_A \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \alpha_{M,\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow \alpha_{N,\mathcal{C}} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^*\mathcal{C}, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}({}^*\mathcal{C}, h)} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}({}^*\mathcal{C}, N), \end{array}$$

in which the lower square is always commutative.

If  $h$  is a comodule map, then the upper square is also commutative and so is the outer rectangle. It is straightforward to see that this is equivalent to  $h$  respecting  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action thus showing (i).

Now assume the outer rectangle to be commutative. By assumption  $\alpha_{N,\mathcal{C}}$  is injective and this implies that the upper square is also commutative proving (ii).  $\square$

**3.4.  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules and  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -modules.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a coassociative weak  $A$ -coring,  $\varrho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$  a right weak coaction and  $\leftarrow : M \otimes_A {}^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow MA \subset M$  the corresponding action.

- (1) If  $\varrho_M$  is coassociative then  $\leftarrow$  makes  $M$  a right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module and  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  acts as identity on  $MA$ .
- (2) If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left  $\alpha$ -condition and  $M$  is a right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module by  $\leftarrow$ , then  $\varrho_M$  is coassociative and every  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -submodule of  $M$  is a weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -sub-comodule.

*Proof.* (1) If  $\varrho_M$  is coassociative we have the commutative diagram, for  $f, g \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & M \otimes_A A \mathcal{C} & & & & \\
 & \nearrow \varrho_M & & \searrow I \otimes \underline{\Delta} & & & \\
 M & & & & M \otimes_A A \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{I \otimes I \otimes f} & M \otimes_A A \mathcal{C} A & \xrightarrow{I \otimes g} & MA. \\
 & \searrow \varrho_M & & \nearrow \varrho_{M \otimes I} & & & & & \\
 & & M \otimes_A A \mathcal{C} & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

For any  $m \in M$  the upper path yields  $m \leftarrow (f *_l g)$  while the lower path yields  $(m \leftarrow f) \leftarrow g$ . This implies our first assertion.

Since  $M$  is weakly counital, for any  $m \in M$ ,  $m 1 \leftarrow \underline{\varepsilon} = \sum m_0 \underline{\varepsilon}(m_1) = m 1$ .

(2) If  $M$  is a  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module by  $\leftarrow$ , then  $m \leftarrow (f *_l g) = (m \leftarrow f) \leftarrow g$  for all  $f, g \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$  and the left  $\alpha$ -condition implies commutativity of the rectangle in the above diagram.

The second assertion follows from 3.3.  $\square$

By 3.3 we have the following relationship between

**3.5.  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule and  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module morphisms.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules and  $h : M \rightarrow N$  an  $A$ -linear map.

- (1) If  $h$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule morphism then  $h$  is a  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module morphism.
- (2) If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left  $\alpha$ -condition and  $h$  is a  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -module morphism, then  $h$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule morphism, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{{}^*\mathcal{C}}(M, N), \text{ for any } M, N \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}.$$

In a similar way left weak coactions on a left  $A$ -module  $M$  yield left actions of  $\mathcal{C}^*$  on  $M$ . In particular we have for  $\mathcal{C}$  itself:

**3.6.  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ - and  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -actions on  $\mathcal{C}$ .** For any coassociative weak  $A$ -coring  $\mathcal{C}$  there are actions

$$\begin{aligned} \leftarrow : \mathcal{C} \otimes_A {}^*\mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}A, & c \otimes f &\mapsto (I \otimes I \otimes f) \circ \underline{\Delta}(c), \\ \rightarrow : \mathcal{C}^* \otimes_A \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow A\mathcal{C}, & g \otimes c &\mapsto (g \otimes I \otimes I) \circ \underline{\Delta}(c). \end{aligned}$$

(1) For any  $f \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{C}^*$ , and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $(g \rightarrow c) \leftarrow f = g \rightarrow (c \leftarrow f)$ .

(2) For any  $f \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$ ,  $h \in {}^*\mathcal{C}^*$ , and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $f *_l h(c) = f(h \rightarrow c) = h(c \leftarrow f)$ .

(3) For any  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $c \leftarrow \underline{\varepsilon} = 1c1 = \underline{\varepsilon} \rightarrow c$ .

${}^*(ACA)$  and  $(ACA)^*$  act faithfully on  $ACA$ .

(4) If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left  $\alpha$ -condition, then any right  $A$ -submodule  $D \subset \mathcal{C}A$  which is closed under right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action has right weak coaction.

(5) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfy the left and right  $\alpha$ -condition, and consider any  $(A, A)$ -submodule  $D \subset ACA$  which is pure as left and right  $A$ -submodule. Then  $D$  is a weak sub-coring if and only if  $D$  is closed under left  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -action and right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action.

*Proof.* (1) By definition,

$$(g \rightarrow c) \leftarrow f = \sum g(c_{1\bar{1}})c_{2\bar{1}}f(c_{2\bar{2}}) = \sum g(c_{1\bar{1}})c_{1\bar{2}}f(c_{\bar{2}}) = g \rightarrow (c \leftarrow f).$$

(2) By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} f *_l h(c) &= \sum h(c_{\bar{1}}f(c_{\bar{2}})) = h(c \leftarrow f) \\ &= \sum h(c_{\bar{1}})f(c_{\bar{2}}) = f(h \rightarrow c). \end{aligned}$$

(3) is clear by weak counitality of  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  and 1.11; (4) follows from 3.3.

(5) Clearly every weak sub-coring  $D$  is closed under left  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -action and right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action.

Let  $D \subset \mathcal{C}$  be an  $(A, A)$ -submodule with the purity condition which is closed under left  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -action and right  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -action. Then the restriction of  $\underline{\Delta}$  yields a left and right  $\mathcal{C}$ -coaction on  $D$  and

$$\underline{\Delta}(D) \subset D \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A D = D \otimes_A A \otimes_A D.$$

The first inclusion follows from 3.3. For the equality consider the commutative and exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & D \otimes_A A \otimes_A D & \rightarrow & D \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} & \rightarrow & D \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}/D & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A D & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}/D & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since the left square is a pullback (e.g., [13, 10.3]), we can make the identification stated. This shows that  $D$  is a weak subcoring.  $\square$

Writing morphisms of left (co-) modules on the right side of the argument and vice versa, the following is now obvious:

**3.7. Coassociative  $A$ -corings.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a coassociative  $A$ -coring.*

- (1)  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^*$  are associative rings with unit.
- (2) The actions  $\leftarrow$  and  $\rightarrow$  make  $\mathcal{C}$  a  $(\mathcal{C}^*, {}^*\mathcal{C})$ -bimodule which is faithful on the left and on the right.
- (3)  $\text{End}^{-\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^*$  and  $\text{End}^{\mathcal{C}^-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq {}^*\mathcal{C}$ .
- (4) If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the left (right)  $\alpha$ -condition then

$$\text{End}_{-{}^*\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{End}^{-\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^*, \quad (\text{resp.}, \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}^*}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{End}^{\mathcal{C}^-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq {}^*\mathcal{C}).$$

The preceding observations yield a close relationship between weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules and  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -modules and we obtain a general form of the finiteness theorem for coalgebras.

**3.8. The category of weak comodules.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a coassociative weak  $A$ -coring satisfying the left  $\alpha$ -condition.*

- (1)  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$  is a full subcategory of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{{}^*\mathcal{C}}$ .
- (2) For every  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $M \otimes_A A\mathcal{C}$  is generated (and  $MA$  is subgenerated) by the right  $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule  $A\mathcal{C}$ .
- (3) For every  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ , finitely generated  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -submodules of  $MA$  are finitely generated as (right)  $A$ -modules.
- (4) If  $ACA$  is finitely generated as left  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -module (left  $A$ -module), then  ${}^*(ACA) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) This is clear by 3.4 and 3.5.

(2) We have an epimorphism  $A^{(\Lambda)} \rightarrow M \otimes_A A$  of right  $A$ -modules. By 1.8 this yields an epimorphism  $(A \otimes_A \mathcal{C})^{(\Lambda)} \simeq A^{(\Lambda)} \otimes_A \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M \otimes_A A\mathcal{C}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Notice that  $\varrho_M$  is a comodule morphism but need not be injective. However the restriction to  $MA \subset M$  is injective and hence  $MA$  is a subcomodule of  $M \otimes_A A\mathcal{C}$ .

(3) For  $k \in MA$  consider the cyclic submodule  $K := k {}^*\mathcal{C} \subset MA$ . By 3.4, there exists a weak coaction  $\varrho_K : K \rightarrow K \otimes_A A\mathcal{C}$  and we have  $\varrho_K(k) = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \otimes c_i$ , where  $k_i \in K$ ,  $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$ . So for any  $f \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$ ,  $k \leftarrow f = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i f(c_i)$  which shows that  $K$  is finitely generated by  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  as right  $A$ -module.

(4) Let  $ACA$  be finitely generated as left  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -module (or  $A$ -module) by  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in ACA$  and consider the map

$${}^*(ACA) \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r) {}^*(ACA) \subset (ACA)^r \subset (AC)^r, \quad f \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_r) \lrcorner f.$$

Since  ${}^*(ACA)$  acts faithfully on  $ACA$  this is a monomorphism of right  ${}^*(ACA)$ -modules. So  ${}^*(ACA)$  is a submodule of the weak comodule  $(AC)^r$  and hence is a right weak  $\mathcal{C}$ -subcomodule (by 3.4).  $\square$

The proof shows that under the given conditions  ${}^*(ACA)$  is in fact a comodule over the coring  $ACA$ . For corings the situation simplifies to the following. Notice that assertion (3) was already observed in [5, Lemma 4.3].

**3.9. The category of comodules.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a coassociative  $A$ -coring satisfying the left  $\alpha$ -condition.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subgenerator in  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} = \sigma[\mathcal{C}_{*\mathcal{C}}]$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}_{*\mathcal{C}}$ .
- (2) For every  $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ , any finitely many elements of  $M$  are contained in a submodule ( ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ -submodule) which is finitely generated as  $A$ -module.
- (3) If  $\mathcal{C}$  is finitely generated as left  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -module or left  $A$ -module, then  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{M}_{*\mathcal{C}}$ .
- (4) For a left noetherian ring  $A$ , the following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $\mathcal{C}$  is finitely generated as left  $A$ -module;
  - (b)  $\mathcal{C}$  is finitely generated as left  $\mathcal{C}^*$ -module;
  - (c)  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{M}_{*\mathcal{C}}$ .

*Proof.* (1), (2) and (3) follow immediately from 3.8.

(4) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) are clear by 3.8.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) By (2) and (3),  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$  is finitely generated as right  $A$ -module and hence  $\mathcal{C}^{**}$  is a finitely generated (noetherian) left  $A$ -module. By the left  $\alpha$ -condition,  ${}_A\mathcal{C}$  is cogenerated by  $A$  and so  ${}_A\mathcal{C}$  is a submodule of  $\mathcal{C}^{**}$  and hence finitely generated.  $\square$

## 4 Entwining structures

For the history and importance of (weak) entwining structures and their (co)modules we refer to Caenepeel-Groot [6] and Brzeziński [5]. Here we show how this theory can be derived and interpreted by using weak corings studied in the preceding sections thus providing alternative proofs of related results in [6].

Let  $R$  be a commutative associative ring with unit,  $\mu : A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$  an  $R$ -algebra with unit  $\iota : R \rightarrow A$ , and  $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes_R C$  an  $R$ -coalgebra with counit  $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow R$ .

We are interested in the interaction between the algebra  $A$  and the coalgebra  $C$ . For this we ask for possible structures of  $A \otimes_R C$ . The following result was essentially announced in [6] and [5].

**4.1.  $A \otimes_R C$  as an  $A$ -coring.** Consider  $A \otimes_R C$  as a left  $A$ -module canonically.

(1) Assume there exists a right  $A$ -action  $\cdot$  on  $A \otimes_R C$  and define the  $R$ -linear map

$$\psi : C \otimes_R A \rightarrow A \otimes_R C, \quad c \otimes a \mapsto (1 \otimes c) \cdot a,$$

writing  $\psi(c \otimes a) = \sum a_\psi \otimes c^\psi$ , for suitable  $a_\psi \in A$ ,  $c^\psi \in C$ .

Moreover, consider the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta} : A \otimes_R C &\rightarrow (A \otimes_R C) \otimes_A (A \otimes_R C) \simeq (A \otimes_R C) \cdot 1 \otimes_R C, \\ a \otimes c &\mapsto \sum (a \otimes c_1) \otimes_A (1 \otimes c_2) \mapsto \sum (a \otimes c_1) \cdot 1 \otimes c_2, \\ \underline{\varepsilon} : A \otimes_R C &\rightarrow (A \otimes_R C) \cdot 1 \rightarrow A, \\ a \otimes c &\mapsto (a \otimes c) \cdot 1 \mapsto (I \otimes \varepsilon)((a \otimes c) \cdot 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2$ , for  $c \in C$ . Then:

(i) If  $(A \otimes_R C, \underline{\Delta}, \underline{\varepsilon})$  is an  $A$ -coring, then

$$(1.1) \quad \sum (ab)_{\psi \otimes c^\psi} = \sum a_\psi b_\varphi \otimes c^{\psi \varphi}.$$

$$(1.2) \quad \sum a_\psi \otimes c_1^\psi \otimes c_2^\psi = \sum a_{\psi \varphi} \otimes c_1^\varphi \otimes c_2^\psi.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \sum a_\psi \varepsilon(c^\psi) = \varepsilon(c) a.$$

$$(1.4) \quad \sum 1_\psi \otimes c^\psi = 1 \otimes c.$$

(ii) If  $(A \otimes_R C, \underline{\Delta}, \underline{\varepsilon})$  is a weak  $A$ -coring, then (1.1) holds and

$$(1.2)' \quad \sum a_\psi \psi(c_1^\psi \otimes 1) \otimes c_2^\psi = \sum a_{\psi \varphi} \otimes c_1^\varphi \otimes c_2^\psi.$$

$$(1.3)' \quad \sum a_\psi \varepsilon(c^\psi) = \sum \varepsilon(c^\psi) 1_\psi a.$$

$$(1.4)' \quad \sum 1_\psi \otimes c^\psi = \sum \varepsilon(c_1^\psi) 1_\psi \otimes c_2^\psi.$$

(2) Assume there exists an  $R$ -linear map  $\psi : C \otimes_R A \rightarrow A \otimes_R C$  and define a right  $A$ -action on  $A \otimes_R C$  by

$$(A \otimes_R C) \otimes_R A \rightarrow A \otimes_R C, \quad (a \otimes c) \otimes b \mapsto a \psi(c \otimes b).$$

If  $\psi$  satisfies (1.1) – (1.4), then  $A \otimes_R C$  is an  $A$ -coring.

If  $\psi$  satisfies (1.1), (1.2)', (1.3)', (1.4)', then  $A \otimes_R C$  is a (left unital) weak  $A$ -coring.

In the first case  $(A, C, \psi)$  is called an *entwining structure*, in the second case  $(A, C, \psi)$  is called a *weak entwining structure*. Notice that (1.2)' differs slightly from the corresponding condition in [6].

*Proof.* (1) (i) (1.1) Associativity of right multiplication yields

$$\sum (ab)_{\psi \otimes c^\psi} = (1 \otimes c) \cdot ab = (1 \otimes c) \cdot a \cdot b = \sum a_{\psi} b_{\varphi \otimes c^{\psi\varphi}}.$$

(1.2) By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta}((1 \otimes c) \cdot a) &= \underline{\Delta}(\sum a_{\psi \otimes c^\psi}) \\ &= \sum a_{\psi \otimes c^\psi} \underline{1} \otimes c^{\psi_2}, \quad \text{and} \\ \underline{\Delta}(1 \otimes c) \cdot a &= \sum (1 \otimes c_1)_{\otimes A} (1 \otimes c_2) \cdot a \\ &= \sum (1 \otimes c_1)_{\otimes A} (\sum a_{\psi \otimes c_2^\psi}) \\ &= \sum a_{\psi \varphi \otimes c_1^\varphi} \otimes c_2^\psi. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\underline{\Delta}$  is a right  $A$ -module morphism the two expressions are the same.

(1.3)  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is a right  $A$ -module morphism, so  $I_{\otimes \varepsilon}((1 \otimes c) \cdot a) = \varepsilon(c)a$ .

(1.4)  $A \otimes_R C$  is a unital right module, so  $1 \otimes c = (1 \otimes c) \cdot 1 = \sum 1_{\psi \otimes c^\psi}$ .

(ii) (1.2)' One expression from (1.2) remains unchanged, for the other we get

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta}((1 \otimes c) \cdot a) &= \underline{\Delta}(\sum a_{\psi \otimes c^\psi}) \\ &= \sum (a_{\psi \otimes c^\psi} \underline{1}) \cdot 1 \otimes c^{\psi_2} \\ &= \sum a_{\psi} \psi(c^{\psi_1} \underline{1}) \otimes c^{\psi_2}. \end{aligned}$$

(1.3)'  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is a right  $A$ -module morphism, so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum a_{\psi} \varepsilon(c^\psi) &= I_{\otimes \varepsilon}((1 \otimes c) \cdot a) \\ &= (I_{\otimes \varepsilon}((1 \otimes c) \cdot 1)) \cdot a \\ &= (I_{\otimes \varepsilon}(\sum 1_{\psi \otimes c^\psi})) \cdot a \\ &= \sum \varepsilon(c^\psi) 1_{\psi} a. \end{aligned}$$

(1.4)'  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is weakly counitary, so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum 1_{\psi \otimes c^\psi} &= (1 \otimes c) \cdot 1 \\ \text{counital} &= (\underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I) \circ \underline{\Delta}(1 \otimes c) \\ &= I_{\otimes \varepsilon} \otimes I (\sum 1_{\psi \otimes c_1^\psi} \otimes c_2) \\ &= \sum \varepsilon(c_1^\psi) 1_{\psi \otimes c_2}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Given the map  $\psi$  with the corresponding properties the assertions can be verified along the same lines.  $\square$

**4.2. Dual algebra and smash product.** Let  $A \otimes_R C$  be a weak  $A$ -coring (as in 4.1). Then the canonical  $R$ -module isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A-}(A \otimes_R C, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, A), \quad h \mapsto h \circ (1 \otimes -),$$

induces an associative algebra structure on  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, A)$  with multiplication

$$f *_l g(c) = \sum f(c_2)_\psi g(c_1^\psi), \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, A), \quad c \in C.$$

We call this algebra the *smash product* of  $A$  and  $C$  and denote it by  $\#(C, A)$ .

$\#(C, A)$  contains a central idempotent  $e$  defined by

$$e(c) := \underline{\varepsilon}(1 \otimes c) = I_{\otimes \varepsilon}((1 \otimes c) \cdot 1), \quad \text{for } c \in C.$$

Assume  $C$  to be projective as an  $R$ -module. Then:

- (1) The category  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{A \otimes_R C}$  of right weak  $A \otimes_R C$ -comodules is a full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Mod}\text{-}\#(C, A)$ .
- (2)  $A \otimes_R C$  subgenerates all weak right  $A \otimes_R C$ -comodules which are unital right  $A$ -modules.
- (3) If  $C$  is finitely generated as  $R$ -module, then  $\#(C, A) *_l e \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{A \otimes_R C}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A-}(A \otimes_R C, A)$  we have (see 1.4)

$$\tilde{f} *_l \tilde{g} = \sum \tilde{g}((1 \otimes c_1) \cdot \tilde{f}(1 \otimes c_2)) = \sum \tilde{g}(\tilde{f}(1 \otimes c_2)_\psi \otimes c_1^\psi) = \sum \tilde{f}(1 \otimes c_2)_\psi \tilde{g}(1 \otimes c_1^\psi),$$

and this induces the multiplication suggested for  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(C, A)$ .

$\underline{\varepsilon}$  is a central idempotent in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A-}(A \otimes_R C, A) = {}^*(A \otimes_R C)$  (see 1.4) and - under the isomorphism under consideration -  $e$  is the image of  $\underline{\varepsilon}$ .

If  $C$  is projective as an  $R$ -module then  $A \otimes_R C$  is a projective  $A$ -module and hence satisfies the  $\alpha$ -condition. So (1) and (2) are special cases of 3.8.

Moreover, if  $C$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module then  $A \otimes_R C$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module, and so is its homomorphic image  $(A \otimes_R C) \cdot A$ . Now 3.8(4) implies that  ${}^*((A \otimes_R C) \cdot A) \simeq {}^*(A \otimes_R C) *_l \underline{\varepsilon}$  is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{A \otimes_R C}$  and this ring is isomorphic to  $\#(C, A) *_l e$ .  $\square$

The above observations are variations and refinements of what is called the *weak Koppinen smash product* in [6, Section 3.2]. Of course the situation simplifies for corings (compare [5, Lemma 4.3]):

**4.3. Smash product of corings.** Let  $A \otimes_R C$  be an  $A$ -coring (as in 4.1) and assume  $C$  to be projective as an  $R$ -module. Then:

- (1)  $\#(C, A)$  has a unit and  $A \otimes_R C$  is a subgenerator in  $\mathcal{M}^{A \otimes_R C} = \sigma[(A \otimes_R C)_{\#(C, A)}]$ .
- (2) If  $C$  is finitely generated as  $R$ -module, then  $\mathcal{M}^{A \otimes_R C} = \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}\#(C, A)$ .



## 5 Weak bialgebras

Weak bialgebras are studied in Böhm-Nill-Szlachányi [1] and their relations to weak entwining structures are displayed in Caenepeel-Groot [6]. Here we give a characterization of weak bialgebras in terms of related weak corings thus showing that (part of) the theory is covered by our techniques.

Throughout this section  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  will denote an  $R$ -module  $B$  which is an associative  $R$ -algebra with multiplication  $\mu$  and unit  $1$  as well as a coassociative coalgebra with comultiplication  $\Delta$  and counit  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)\Delta(b), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in B.$$

With the twist map  $\tau$  we can form another multiplication  $\mu^\tau := \mu \circ \tau$  and another comultiplication  $\Delta^\tau := \tau \circ \Delta$  for  $B$ , and the resulting structures

$$(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta^\tau), \quad (B, \mu^\tau, \Delta), \quad (B, \mu, \Delta^\tau)$$

are again algebras and coalgebras with multiplicative comultiplication.

Based on any of these data we have canonical multiplications with unit  $1 \otimes 1$  on  $B \otimes_R B$  and we will define comultiplications with counits on  $B \otimes_R B$ . For a (*weak*) *bialgebra* we expect that  $B \otimes_R B$  becomes a (weak)  $B$ -coring in each of the four cases. As we shall see, for *bialgebras* it will be enough to check one of the cases whereas for *weak bialgebras* we have to check two (suitable) cases.

**5.1. Comultiplications on  $B \otimes_R B$ .** *Given  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$ , we consider  $B \otimes_R B$  as a  $(B, B)$ -bimodule with right and (unital) left  $B$ -actions*

$$\begin{aligned} (a \otimes b) \cdot c &= (a \otimes b)\Delta(c) = \sum ac_1 \otimes bc_2, \\ a(b \otimes c) &= ab \otimes c, \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in B. \end{aligned}$$

(1) *For  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  define the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta} : B \otimes_R B &\rightarrow (B \otimes_R B) \otimes_B (B \otimes_R B) \simeq (B \otimes_R B) \cdot 1 \otimes_R B, \\ a \otimes b &\mapsto \sum (a \otimes b_1) \otimes_B (1 \otimes b_2) \mapsto \sum a 1_1 \otimes b_1 1_2 \otimes b_2, \\ \underline{\varepsilon} : B \otimes_R B &\rightarrow (B \otimes_R B) \cdot 1 \xrightarrow{I \otimes \varepsilon} B, \\ a \otimes b &\mapsto (a \otimes b) \cdot 1 \mapsto \sum a 1_1 \varepsilon(b 1_2). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *For  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta^\tau)$  we consider the maps*

$$\underline{\Delta}^\tau : a \otimes b \mapsto \sum (a \otimes b_2) \otimes_B (1 \otimes b_1), \quad {}^\tau \underline{\varepsilon}^\tau : a \otimes b \mapsto \sum 1_2 a \varepsilon(1_1 b).$$

*The module  $B \otimes_R B$  with these maps we denote by  $B \otimes_R^o B$ .*

(3) For  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta)$  we consider the maps

$$\underline{\Delta} : a \otimes b \mapsto \sum (a \otimes b_1)_{\otimes B} (1 \otimes b_2), \quad \underline{\varepsilon}^\tau : a \otimes b \mapsto \sum 1_1 a \varepsilon(1_2 b).$$

(4) For  $(B, \mu, \Delta^\tau)$  we consider the maps

$$\underline{\Delta}^\tau : a \otimes b \mapsto \sum (a \otimes b_2)_{\otimes B} (1 \otimes b_1), \quad {}^\tau \underline{\varepsilon} : a \otimes b \mapsto \sum a 1_2 \varepsilon(b 1_1).$$

Then all the  $\underline{\Delta}$ 's are coassociative weak comultiplications on  $B \otimes_R B$  and the  $\underline{\varepsilon}$ 's are left  $B$ -module morphism with

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot 1 = (I \otimes \underline{\varepsilon}) \circ \underline{\Delta}(a \otimes b), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in B.$$

*Proof.* (1) Clearly  $\underline{\Delta}$  is a left  $B$ -module morphism. For  $a, b, c \in B$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta}((1 \otimes b) \cdot c) &= \sum (c_1 \otimes (bc_2)_1)_{\otimes B} (1 \otimes (bc_2)_2) \\ &= \sum c_1 1_1 \otimes b_1 c_{21} 1_2 \otimes b_2 c_{22} \\ &= \sum c_{11} \otimes b_1 c_{12} \otimes b_2 c_2; \\ \underline{\Delta}(1 \otimes b) \cdot c &= \sum (1 \otimes b_1)_{\otimes B} (1 \otimes b_2) \cdot c \\ &= \sum (1 \otimes b_1)_{\otimes B} (c_1 \otimes b_2 c_2) \\ &= \sum c_{11} \otimes b_1 c_{12} \otimes b_2 c_2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\underline{\Delta}$  is right  $B$ -linear. Coassociativity of  $\underline{\Delta}$  follows easily from the coassociativity of  $\Delta$ .

Clearly  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is left  $B$ -linear. Moreover, for  $a, b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (I \otimes \underline{\varepsilon}) \underline{\Delta}(a \otimes b) &= \sum (a \otimes b_1)_{\otimes B} 1_1 \varepsilon(b_2 1_2) \\ &= \sum a 1_{11} \otimes b_1 1_{12} \varepsilon(b_2 1_2) \\ &= \sum a 1_1 \otimes b_1 1_{21} \varepsilon(b_2 1_{22}) \\ &= \sum a 1_1 \otimes b 1_2 = (a \otimes b) \cdot 1. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs for (2), (3) and (4) follow by the same pattern.  $\square$

In general the properties of  $\underline{\Delta}$  and  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  are not sufficient to make  $B \otimes_R B$  a coring.  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  need neither be right  $B$ -linear nor  $(\underline{\varepsilon} \otimes I) \circ \underline{\Delta}(a \otimes b) = (a \otimes b) \cdot 1$ . To ensure these properties we have to pose additional conditions on  $\varepsilon$  and  $\Delta$ .

We say that  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  induces a (weak) coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$  if the latter is a (weak)  $B$ -coring with the maps defined in 5.1.

Recall that  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  is said to be a *bialgebra* provided  $\Delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are unital algebra morphisms.

**5.2.  $B \otimes_R B$  as coring.** *The followig are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  induces a coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (b)  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta^\tau)$  induces a coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (c)  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta)$  induces a coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (d)  $(B, \mu, \Delta^\tau)$  induces a coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (e)  $B$  is a bialgebra, i.e.,

$$(B.1) \quad \varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b), \text{ for } a, b \in B.$$

$$(B.2) \quad \Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1.$$

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (e) Assume  $B \otimes_R B$  to be a  $B$ -coring. Then  $B \otimes_R B$  is a unital right  $B$ -module, e.g.,

$$1 \otimes 1 = (1 \otimes 1) \cdot 1 = (1 \otimes 1)\Delta(1) = \Delta(1),$$

and  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is right  $B$ -linear, i.e.,

$$\underline{\varepsilon}((1 \otimes a) \cdot b) = \sum b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(ab_{\underline{2}}) = \varepsilon(a)b.$$

Applying  $\varepsilon$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \varepsilon(b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(ab_{\underline{2}})) &= \sum \varepsilon(a\varepsilon(b_{\underline{1}})b_{\underline{2}}) = \varepsilon(ab) \\ &= \varepsilon(\varepsilon(a)b) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b). \end{aligned}$$

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) If (B.1) and (B.2) are satisfied, then  $B \otimes_R B$  is a unital right  $B$ -module and

$$\underline{\varepsilon}((a \otimes b) \cdot c) = \sum ab_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(bc_{\underline{2}}) = \sum ac_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(b)\varepsilon(c_{\underline{2}}) = a\varepsilon(b)c = \underline{\varepsilon}(a \otimes b)c,$$

showing that  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is right  $B$ -linear and so  $B \otimes_R B$  is a  $B$ -coring.

The other implications are shown similarly. □

Part of the symmetry is lost in the case of weak corings.

**5.3.  $B \otimes_R B$  as weak coring.**

(1) *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  induces a weak coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (b)  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta^\tau)$  induces a weak coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (c) (W.1)  $\varepsilon(abc) = \sum \varepsilon(ab_{\underline{2}})\varepsilon(b_{\underline{1}}c)$ , for  $a, b, c \in B$ ;
- (W.2)  $(I \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(1) = (1 \otimes \Delta(1))(\Delta(1) \otimes 1) (= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{1}'} 1_{\underline{2}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}'})$ .

(2) The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $(B, \mu, \Delta^\tau)$  induces a weak coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (b)  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta)$  induces a weak coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (c) (W $^\tau$ .1)  $\varepsilon(abc) = \sum \varepsilon(ab_{\underline{1}})\varepsilon(b_{\underline{2}}c)$ , for  $a, b, c \in B$ ;
- (W $^\tau$ .2)  $(I_{\otimes}\Delta) \circ \Delta(1) = (\Delta(1)_{\otimes 1})(1_{\otimes}\Delta(1)) (= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}} 1_{\underline{1}'} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}'})$ .

*Proof.* (1) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Assume  $B \otimes_R B$  to be a weak  $B$ -coring. Then  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is right  $B$ -linear,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\varepsilon}((1_{\otimes}a) \cdot b \cdot c) &= \underline{\varepsilon}((1_{\otimes}a) \cdot b)c = \sum b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(ab_{\underline{2}})c \\ &= \underline{\varepsilon}((1_{\otimes}a) \cdot (bc)) = \sum (bc)_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(a(bc)_{\underline{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

and applying  $\varepsilon$  yields

$$\sum \varepsilon(ab_{\underline{2}})\varepsilon(b_{\underline{1}}c) = \sum \varepsilon((bc)_{\underline{1}})\varepsilon(a(bc)_{\underline{2}}) = \sum \varepsilon(a\varepsilon((bc)_{\underline{1}})(bc)_{\underline{2}}) = \varepsilon(abc).$$

$\underline{\varepsilon}$  being weakly counital implies

$$(1_{\otimes}a) \cdot 1 = \sum \underline{\varepsilon}(1_{\otimes}a_{\underline{1}})_{\otimes} a_{\underline{2}} = \sum 1_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(a_{\underline{1}}1_{\underline{2}})_{\otimes} a_{\underline{2}},$$

and replacing  $a$  by  $1_{\underline{1}'}$  or  $1$ , respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1_{\otimes}1_{\underline{1}'})\Delta(1) &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}})_{\otimes} 1_{\underline{1}'2}, \quad \text{and} \\ \Delta(1) &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}'})_{\otimes} 1_{\underline{2}'}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $I_{\otimes}\Delta$  to the second equality yields

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{\otimes}\Delta) \circ \Delta(1) &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}})_{\otimes} 1_{\underline{2}'1} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}'2} \\ &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}})_{\otimes} 1_{\underline{1}'2} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}'} \\ &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{1}'} 1_{\underline{2}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}'}. \end{aligned}$$

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Suppose (W.1) and (W.2) are satisfied.

(W.1) implies that  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is right  $B$ -linear by the following computation, for  $a, b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\varepsilon}((1_{\otimes}a) \cdot 1 \cdot b) &= \sum (I_{\otimes}\varepsilon)(1_{\underline{1}}b_{\underline{1}} \otimes a1_{\underline{2}}b_{\underline{2}}) \\ &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(a1_{\underline{2}}b_{\underline{2}}) \\ (W.1) &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(a1_{\underline{2}2})\varepsilon(1_{\underline{2}1}b_{\underline{2}}) \\ (W.1) &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(a1_{\underline{2}22})\varepsilon(1_{\underline{2}21})\varepsilon(1_{\underline{2}1}b_{\underline{2}}) \\ coass. &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}1}b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(a1_{\underline{2}2})\varepsilon(1_{\underline{2}1})\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}2}b_{\underline{2}}) \\ &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}1}b_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}2}b_{\underline{2}})\varepsilon(a\varepsilon(1_{\underline{2}1})1_{\underline{2}2}) \\ &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}}b\varepsilon(a1_{\underline{2}}) \\ &= \underline{\varepsilon}(1_{\otimes}a)b. \end{aligned}$$

By (W.2) we have, for  $a \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \underline{\varepsilon}(1 \otimes a_{\underline{1}}) \otimes a_{\underline{2}} &= \sum \underline{\varepsilon}(1 \otimes (a1)_{\underline{1}}) \otimes (a1)_{\underline{2}} \\
&= \sum (I \otimes \varepsilon)(1_{\underline{1}} \otimes a_{\underline{1}} 1_{\underline{1}'} 1_{\underline{2}}) \otimes a_{\underline{2}} 1_{\underline{2}'} \\
\stackrel{(W.2)}{=} &= \sum (I \otimes \varepsilon)(1_{\underline{1}} \otimes a_{\underline{1}} 1_{\underline{2}\underline{1}}) \otimes a_{\underline{2}} 1_{\underline{2}\underline{2}} \\
&= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \varepsilon(a_{\underline{1}} 1_{\underline{2}\underline{1}}) \otimes a_{\underline{2}} 1_{\underline{2}\underline{2}} \\
&= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes (\varepsilon \otimes I) \Delta(a1_{\underline{2}}) \\
&= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes a1_{\underline{2}} = (1 \otimes a) \Delta(1) = (1 \otimes a) \cdot 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is weakly counital.

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) is shown with a similar computation.

(2) The proof is similar to the proof of (1).  $\square$

**5.4. Group-like elements.** *Assume that  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  induces a weak coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ . Then  $\Delta(1)$  and  $\Delta^\tau(1)$  are group-like elements for  $B \otimes_R B$  and  $B \otimes_R^\circ B$ , respectively.*

(1)  $B$  is a right  $B \otimes_R B$ -comodule and for any  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(B \otimes_R B)}$ , the coinvariants are

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{co(B \otimes_R B)} &= \{m \in MB \mid \varrho_M(m) = \sum m 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}\}, \quad \text{and} \\
B^{co(B \otimes_R B)} &= \{a \in B \mid \Delta(a) = \sum a 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2)  $B$  is a right  $B \otimes_R^\circ B$ -comodule and for any  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(B \otimes_R^\circ B)}$ , the coinvariants are

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{co(B \otimes_R^\circ B)} &= \{m \in MB \mid \varrho'_M(m) = \sum m 1_{\underline{2}} \otimes 1_{\underline{1}}\}, \quad \text{and} \\
B^{co(B \otimes_R^\circ B)} &= \{a \in B \mid \Delta(a) = \sum 1_{\underline{2}} a \otimes 1_{\underline{1}}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*  $\Delta(1)$  is a group-like element for  $B \otimes_R B$  since

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\Delta}(\Delta(1)) &= \sum (1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}\underline{1}}) \otimes_B (1 \otimes 1_{\underline{2}\underline{2}}) = \sum (1_{\underline{1}\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{1}\underline{2}}) \otimes_B (1 \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}) \\
&= \sum (\Delta(1)) \otimes_B (1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}) = \Delta(1) \otimes_B \Delta(1), \quad \text{and} \\
\underline{\varepsilon}(\Delta(1)) &= (I \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta(1) \cdot 1) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \varepsilon(1_{\underline{2}}) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get that  $\Delta^\tau(1)$  is a group-like element for  $B \otimes_R^\circ B$ .

(1) By 2.1,  $B$  is a right  $B \otimes_R B$ -comodule and 2.2(1) yields the given characterization of the coinvariants.

(2) This follows with the same proof as (1).  $\square$

Following Böhm-Nill-Szlachányi [1, Definition 2.1], we call  $B$  a *weak  $R$ -bialgebra* provided  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$ ,  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta^\tau)$ ,  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta)$  and  $(B, \mu, \Delta^\tau)$  all induce coring structures on  $B \otimes_R B$ . From 5.3 we immediately obtain:

**5.5. Weak bialgebras.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $B$  is a weak  $R$ -bialgebra;
- (b)  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  and  $(B, \mu, \Delta^\tau)$  induce coring structures on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (c)  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta^\tau)$  and  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta)$  induce coring structures on  $B \otimes_R B$ ;
- (d) the conditions (W.1), (W.2),  $(W^\tau.1)$  and  $(W^\tau.2)$  are satisfied (see 5.3).

Notice that 5.5 corresponds to the characterization of weak bialgebras by properties of entwining structures in [6, Section 4.1].

In case  $(B \otimes_R B, \underline{\Delta}, \underline{\varepsilon})$  is a  $B$ -coring the condition  $b \otimes 1 = \Delta(b)$  implies  $b = \varepsilon(b)1$ , which means  $B^{\text{co}(B \otimes_R B)} = R1_B$  and  $R$  is an  $R$ -direct summand in  $B$ . This is no longer true in the weak case but some results in this direction still hold.

**5.6. Coinvariants in weak bialgebras.** *Let  $B$  be a weak bialgebra.*

(1) *For  $a \in B$  the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\Delta(a) = \sum a1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}$  (i.e.,  $a \in B^{\text{co}(B \otimes_R B)}$ );
- (b)  $\Delta(a) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} a \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}$ ;
- (c)  $a = \sum \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{1}})1_{\underline{2}}$ ;
- (d)  $a = \sum \varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}}a)1_{\underline{2}}$ .

(2) *For  $a \in B$  the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\Delta(a) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}} a$  (i.e.,  $a \in B^{\text{co}(B \otimes_R^{\circ} B)}$ );
- (b)  $\Delta(a) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes a1_{\underline{2}}$ ;
- (c)  $a = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \varepsilon(1_{\underline{2}}a)$ ;
- (d)  $a = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{2}})$ .

*Proof.* (1) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c), (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) Apply  $\varepsilon \otimes I$  to the equality in (a) and (b), respectively.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), (b) Assume  $a = \sum \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{1}})1_{\underline{2}}$ . Then

$$\Delta(a) = \sum \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{1}})1_{\underline{2}\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}\underline{2}} \stackrel{(W^\tau.2)}{=} \sum \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{1}})1_{\underline{2}}1_{\underline{1}'} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}'} = \sum a1_{\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}},$$

and similarly

$$\Delta(a) = \sum \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{1}})1_{\underline{2}\underline{1}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}\underline{2}} \stackrel{(W.2)}{=} \sum \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{1}})1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}} \otimes 1_{\underline{2}'} = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} a \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}.$$

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is shown similarly.

(2) The proof goes along the lines of the proof of (1). □

**5.7. The ring**  $(\text{End}_R(B), *)$ . Given  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  the (usual) convolution product is defined on  $\text{End}_R(B)$  by

$$f * g = \mu \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta, \text{ for } f, g \in \text{End}_R(B),$$

and  $(\text{End}_R(B), *)$  is an associative  $R$ -algebra with unit  $\varepsilon_B := \iota \circ \varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $\varepsilon_B(b) = \varepsilon(b)1_B$ , for any  $b \in B$ .

Besides  $\varepsilon_B$  there are other maps which are of particular interest for weak bialgebras and which coincide with  $\varepsilon_B$  for bialgebras.

**5.8. The maps  $\pi^L$  and  $\pi^R$ .** Assume that  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  induces a weak coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$ . Define the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^R : B &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \bar{\phantom{a}}} B \otimes_R B \xrightarrow{\underline{\varepsilon}} B, & b &\mapsto \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \varepsilon(b 1_{\underline{2}}), \\ \pi^L : B &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \bar{\phantom{a}}} B \otimes_R B \xrightarrow{\underline{\varepsilon}^o} B, & b &\mapsto \sum \varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}} b) 1_{\underline{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which obviously satisfy  $\pi^L * I = I = I * \pi^R$ .

(1) For  $\pi^L$  we have (where  $a, b \in B$ ):

- (i)  $\sum b_{\underline{1}} \otimes \pi^L(b_{\underline{2}}) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} b \otimes 1_{\underline{2}}$ ;
- (ii)  $a \pi^L(b) = \sum \pi^L(a_{\underline{1}} b) a_{\underline{2}} (= \sum \varepsilon(a_{\underline{1}} b) a_{\underline{2}})$ ;
- (iii)  $f * \pi^L(b) = \sum f(1_{\underline{1}} b) 1_{\underline{2}}$ , for any  $f \in \text{End}_R(B)$ ;
- (iv)  $\pi^L \circ \pi^L = \pi^L$ ;
- (v)  $\varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(a \pi^L(b))$  and  $\pi^L(ab) = \pi^L(a \pi^L(b))$ ;
- (vi)  $\pi^L(a) \pi^L(b) = \pi^L(\pi^L(a) b)$ .

So  $B^L := \pi^L(B)$  is a subring of  $B$  and  $\pi^L$  is a left  $B^L$ -module morphism.

(2) For  $\pi^R$  we have (where  $a, b \in B$ ):

- (i)  $\sum \pi^R(b_{\underline{1}}) \otimes b_{\underline{2}} = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \otimes b 1_{\underline{2}}$ ;
- (ii)  $\pi^R(b) a = \sum a_{\underline{1}} \pi^R(b a_{\underline{2}}) (= \sum a_{\underline{1}} \varepsilon(b a_{\underline{2}}))$ ;
- (iii)  $\pi^R * g(b) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} g(b 1_{\underline{2}})$ , for any  $g \in \text{End}_R(B)$ ;
- (iv)  $\pi^R \circ \pi^R = \pi^R$ ;
- (v)  $\varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(\pi^R(a) b)$  and  $\pi^R(ab) = \pi^R(\pi^R(a) b)$ ;
- (vi)  $\pi^R(a) \pi^R(b) = \pi^R(a \pi^R(b))$ .

So  $B^R := \pi^R(B)$  is a subring of  $B$  and  $\pi^R$  is a right  $B^R$ -module morphism.

(3) Assume that  $B$  is a weak bialgebra. Then

- (i)  $B^{\text{co}(B \otimes_R B)} = B^L$  and  $B^L$  is a direct summand of  $B$  as left  $B^L$ -module.  
(ii)  $B^{\text{co}(B \otimes_R^{\circ} B)} = B^R$  and  $B^R$  is a direct summand of  $B$  as right  $B^R$ -module.

*Proof.* (1) (i), (ii) follow directly from (W.1) and (W.2); (iii) is a consequence of (i).

(iv) and (v) follow from the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^L(\pi^L(a)) &= \sum \varepsilon(\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}}a)1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}})1_{\underline{2}'} = \sum \varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}}a)\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}})1_{\underline{2}'} \\ &\stackrel{(W.1)}{=} \sum \varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}'}a)1_{\underline{2}'} = \pi^L(a), \quad \text{and} \\ \varepsilon(a\pi^L(b)) &= \sum \varepsilon(a\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}}b)1_{\underline{2}}) \\ &\stackrel{(W.1)}{=} \sum \varepsilon(a1_{\underline{2}})\varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}}b) = \varepsilon(ab). \end{aligned}$$

(vi) We have  $\Delta(\pi^L(a)) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}}\pi^L(a)\otimes 1_{\underline{2}}$ , and hence by (ii),

$$\pi^L(\pi^L(a)\pi^L(b)) = \sum \varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}}\pi^L(a)b)1_{\underline{2}} = \pi^L(\pi^L(a)b).$$

(2) If  $(B, \mu, \Delta)$  induces a weak coring structure on  $B \otimes_R B$  then this is also true for  $(B, \mu^\tau, \Delta^\tau)$  (see 5.3) and the proof is similar to the proof of (1).

(3) This follows by 5.4, 5.6 and (1), resp. (2).  $\square$

Notice that most of the identities considered in 5.8 and later on are already familiar from [10] and [1, Section 2.2]. Since we do not consider (finite dimensional) algebras over fields the (duality) arguments used there are not always available here and hence we prefer to indicate proofs if appropriate.

**5.9. Antipodes.** An element  $S \in \text{End}_R(B)$  is called

a *left antipode* if  $S * I = \pi^R$  and  $S * \pi^L = S$ , i.e., for  $b \in B$ ,

$$\sum (Sb_{\underline{1}})b_{\underline{2}} = \sum 1_{\underline{1}}\varepsilon(b1_{\underline{2}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum S(1_{\underline{1}}b)1_{\underline{2}} = S(b),$$

a *right antipode* provided  $I * S = \pi^L$  and  $\pi^R * S = S$ , i.e.,

$$\sum b_{\underline{1}}(Sb_{\underline{2}}) = \sum \varepsilon(1_{\underline{1}}b)1_{\underline{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum 1_{\underline{1}}S(b1_{\underline{2}}) = S(b),$$

an *antipode* if  $S$  is both a left and a right antipode.

In view of the properties of  $\pi^L$  and  $\pi^R$  we have the following result which shows that our notion of an antipode coincides with the antipodes in [1, 2.1].

*The following are equivalent for  $S \in \text{End}_R(B)$ :*

- (a)  $S$  is an antipode;  
(b)  $S$  satisfies  $S * I = \pi^R$ ,  $I * S = \pi^L$  and  $S * I * S = S$ .



A weak bialgebra  $B$  with an antipode is called *weak Hopf algebra* (see [1]).

It is straightforward to see that the antipode of a weak bialgebra has the usual properties of the antipode in case  $B$  is a bialgebra (then  $\pi^L$  and  $\pi^R$  coincide with  $\varepsilon_B$ ).

Notice that our antipodes satisfy  $S * I * S = S$  and  $I * S * I = I$ , the conditions used in Fang Li [7] to define his "weak Hopf algebras".

**5.10. Galois corings.** Let  $B$  be a weak bialgebra. Then the  $B$ -coring  $B \otimes_R B$  is *right Galois* (Definition 2.4) if the canonical map

$$\gamma_B : B \otimes_{B^L} B \rightarrow (B \otimes_R B) \cdot 1, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto (a \otimes 1) \Delta(b),$$

is an isomorphism. Obviously  $\gamma_B$  is a left  $B$ -module morphism.

The following observation generalizes [9, Theorem 1.1].

**5.11. Existence of antipodes.** *Let  $B$  be a weak bialgebra. Then:*

- (1)  $B$  has a right antipode if and only if  $\gamma_B$  has a left inverse in  $B\text{-Mod}$ .
- (2)  $\gamma_B$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $B$  has an antipode.

*Proof.* (1) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\beta$  be a left inverse of  $\gamma_B$ . Then  $1 \otimes_{B^L} b = \beta \circ \gamma(1 \otimes_{B^L} b) = \beta(\Delta b)$ , and applying  $I \otimes \pi^L$  we get

$$\pi^L(b) = (I \otimes \pi^L) \circ \beta(\Delta b).$$

Then the composition

$$S : B \xrightarrow{1 \otimes -} B \otimes_R B \xrightarrow{-1} (B \otimes_R B) \cdot 1 \xrightarrow{\beta} B \otimes_{B^L} B \xrightarrow{I \otimes \pi^L} B,$$

is a right antipode since

$$\mu \circ (id \otimes S) \circ \Delta(b) = \sum b_{\underline{1}}((I \otimes \pi^L)\beta(1_{\underline{1}} \otimes b_{\underline{2}}1_{\underline{2}})) = (I \otimes \pi^L) \circ \beta(\Delta b) = \pi^L(b), \text{ and}$$

$$\pi^R * S(b) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} S(b1_{\underline{2}}) = \sum (I \otimes \pi^L) \circ \beta(1_{\underline{1}} \otimes b1_{\underline{2}}) = S(b).$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Now assume  $S : B \rightarrow B$  to be a right antipode and consider the map

$$\beta : B \otimes_R B \rightarrow B \otimes_{B^L} B, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \sum aS(b_{\underline{1}}) \otimes_{B^L} b_{\underline{2}}.$$

By the property

$$\begin{aligned} \beta((a \otimes b) \Delta(1)) &= \sum a1_{\underline{1}} S(b_{\underline{1}}1_{\underline{2}}) \otimes_{B^L} b_{\underline{2}}1_{\underline{2}} \\ (w.2) &= \sum a1_{\underline{1}} S(b_{\underline{1}}1_{\underline{1}'}1_{\underline{2}}) \otimes_{B^L} b_{\underline{2}}1_{\underline{2}'} \\ &= \sum aS(b_{\underline{1}}1_{\underline{1}'}) \otimes_{B^L} b_{\underline{2}}1_{\underline{2}'} = \beta(a \otimes b), \end{aligned}$$

it induces a map  $\beta : (B \otimes_R B) \cdot 1 \rightarrow B \otimes_{B^L} B$ , which is a left inverse of  $\gamma_B$  since, for any  $b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \circ \gamma(1 \otimes_{B^L} b) &= \beta(\Delta b) = \sum b_{\underline{1}} S(b_{\underline{2}\underline{1}}) \otimes_{B^L} b_{\underline{2}\underline{2}} = \sum b_{\underline{1}\underline{1}} S(b_{\underline{1}\underline{2}}) \otimes_{B^L} b_{\underline{2}} \\ &= \sum \pi^L(b_{\underline{1}}) \otimes_{B^L} b_{\underline{2}} = 1 \otimes_{B^L} b. \end{aligned}$$

(2) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $\gamma_B$  to be bijective. By (1), there exists a right antipode  $S$  and so we have  $I * S * I = \pi^L * I = I$ .

Any element in  $(B \otimes B) \cdot 1$  can be written as  $\sum_i a_i \Delta c_i$ , for some  $a_i, c_i \in B$ , and

$$\sum_i \mu \circ (id \otimes (S * I - \varepsilon_B))(a_i \Delta c_i) = \sum_i a_i (I * S * I - I * \varepsilon_B)(c_i) = 0.$$

This implies for  $(1 \otimes b) \Delta(1) \in (B \otimes B) \cdot 1$ , where  $b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^R(b) &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} \varepsilon(b_{\underline{1}\underline{2}}) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} S * I(b_{\underline{1}\underline{2}}) = \sum 1_{\underline{1}} S(b_{\underline{1}\underline{2}\underline{1}}) b_{\underline{2}\underline{1}\underline{2}} \\ (w.2) \quad &= \sum 1_{\underline{1}} S(b_{\underline{1}\underline{1}'\underline{1}\underline{2}}) b_{\underline{2}\underline{1}\underline{2}'} = \sum S(b_{\underline{1}\underline{1}'}) b_{\underline{2}\underline{1}\underline{2}'} = S * I(b). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\pi^R * S = S * I * S = S * \pi^L = S$  showing that  $S$  is a right antipode.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) For the  $\beta$  defined in (1) we already know that  $\beta \circ \gamma_B = I$ .

For any  $a, b \in B$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_B \circ \beta((a \otimes b) \cdot 1) &= \sum (aS(b_{\underline{1}}) \otimes 1) \Delta(b_{\underline{2}}) = \sum a S(b_{\underline{1}}) b_{\underline{2}\underline{1}} \otimes b_{\underline{2}\underline{2}} \\ &= \sum a S(b_{\underline{1}\underline{1}}) b_{\underline{1}\underline{2}} \otimes b_{\underline{2}} = a \sum \pi^R(b_{\underline{1}}) \otimes b_{\underline{2}} \\ 5.8(1)(i) \quad &= a(1 \otimes b) \cdot 1 = (a \otimes b) \cdot 1, \end{aligned}$$

which shows  $\gamma_B \circ \beta = I$  and hence  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Recall that the category of comodules over a coring  $B \otimes_R B$  is Grothendieck provided  $B \otimes_R B$  is flat as left  $B$ -module (see 1.10).

It follows from 5.8(3) that any weak bialgebra  $B$  has  $B^L$  as a direct summand which means that  $B$  is flat as a left  $B^L$ -module if and only if it is faithfully flat. Hence the characterization of a ring as a generator for related comodules in 2.5 immediately implies:

**5.12. Fundamental theorem for weak Hopf algebras.** *For a weak  $R$ -bialgebra  $B$  the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $B$  is a weak Hopf algebra, and  $B$  is flat as left  $B^L$ -module;
- (b)  $B \otimes_R B$  is flat as left  $B$ -module, and  $B$  is a (projective) generator in  $\mathcal{M}^{(B \otimes_R B)\text{-}1}$ ;

(c)  $\mathcal{M}^{(B \otimes_R B)^1}$  is a Grothendieck category and

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{B \otimes_R B}(B, -) : \mathcal{M}^{(B \otimes_R B)^1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}\text{-}B^L$$

is an equivalence (with inverse  $-\otimes_{B^L} B$ );

(d)  $B \otimes_R B$  is flat as left  $B$ -module, and for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}^{(B \otimes_R B)^1}$ ,

$$M^{\mathrm{co}B} \otimes_{B^L} B \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes b \mapsto mb,$$

is an isomorphism.

Notice that  $B \otimes_R B$  is flat (projective) as left  $B$ -module provided  $B$  is flat (projective) as  $R$ -module. Of course this is always the case if  $R$  is a field. For this situation a direct proof of the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) is given in [1, Theorem 3.9].

**5.13. Remark.** We can follow the proof of [1, Lemma 3.7] to show: *If  $B$  is a weak Hopf algebra with antipode  $S$ , then for any right  $B \otimes_R B$ -comodule  $M$ , the map*

$$(I \otimes S) \circ \varrho_M : M \rightarrow M^{\mathrm{co}(B \otimes B)}$$

*is a splitting  $B^L$ -morphism.*

This entails that the first part of the proof of [5, Theorem 5.6] can be applied here without the initial condition that  $B$  is flat as left  $B^L$ -module. Therefore we can add as additional equivalent condition in 5.12:

(e)  $B$  is a weak Hopf algebra, and  $B \otimes_R B$  is flat as left  $B$ -module.

**Acknowledgement.** The author is very grateful to Tomasz Brzeziński for helpful discussions and suggestions and to the referee for his careful reading of the manuscript and for pointing out some inaccuracies.

## References

- [1] Böhm, G., Nill, F., Szlachányi, K., *Weak Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra 221, 385-483 (1999)
- [2] Brzeziński, T., Majid, Sh., *Coalgebra Bundles*, Comm. Math. Phys. 191, 467-492 (1998)
- [3] Brzeziński, T., *On modules associated to coalgebra Galois extensions*, J. Algebra 215, 290-317 (1999)

- [4] Brzeziński, T., *The cohomology structure of an algebra entwined with a coalgebra*, J. Algebra 235, 176-202 (2001)
- [5] Brzeziński, T., *The Structure of Corings*, Alg. Rep. Theory, to appear
- [6] Caenepeel, S., de Groot, E., *Modules over weak entwining structures*, Contemporary Mathematics 267, 31-54 (2000)
- [7] Fang Li, *Weak Hopf algebras and some new solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra 208, 72-100 (1998)
- [8] Menini, C., Seidel, A., Torrecillas, B., Wisbauer, R., *A-H-bimodules and equivalences*, Comm. Algebra
- [9] Nakajima, A., *Bialgebras and Galois extensions*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 33, 37-46 (1991)
- [10] Nill, F., *Axioms for weak bialgebras*, preprint, q-alg/9805104
- [11] Sweedler, M., *The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc 213, 391-406 (1975)
- [12] Tambara, D., *The coendomorphism bialgebra of an algebra*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA, Math. 37, 425-456 (1990)
- [13] Wisbauer, R., *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, Reading, Paris (1991)
- [14] Wisbauer, R., *Semiperfect coalgebras over rings*, Algebras and Combinatorics, ICA'97, Hong Kong, K.P. Shum, E. Taft, Z.X. Wan (ed), Springer Singapore, 487-512 (1999)