A VARIETY WITH TRIVIAL CANONICAL BUNDLE, DEGENERATE HODGE-TO-DE RHAM SPECTRAL SEQUENCE, AND OBSTRUCTED DEFORMATIONS

HUGO ZOCK

ABSTRACT. We exhibit a variety as in the title, resolving a question of Brantner and Taelman [BT24, Remark 1.1].

1. INTRODUCTION

A smooth and proper variety *X* over an algebraically closed field *k* is said to be *Calabi-Yau* if its canonical bundle ω_X is trivial. In [BT24] it is demonstrated that such an *X* has unobstructed mixed characteristic formal deformations, provided that the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence $E_1^{pq} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \Rightarrow H_{dR}^{p+q}(X/k)$ of *X* degenerates and that the crystalline cohomology $H_{cris}^*(X/W(k))$ is torsion-free.

In [BT24, Remark 1.1] Brantner and Taelman raise the following question.

Question 1.1. Is there a Calabi-Yau variety *X* such that the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates, *and* such that *X* has obstructed deformations?

By the results of Brantner and Taelman, if such an X exists, it must necessarily have torsion in the crystalline cohomology $H^*_{cris}(X/W(k))$.

In this short note we answer Question 1.1 *affirmatively*. The variety *X* will be a certain bielliptic surface in characteristic 2.

Presumably, one can also construct a bielliptic surface in characteristic 3 to answer Question 1.1; but beyond characteristic 3 all bielliptic surfaces will have torsion-free crystalline cohomology groups. This raises the following question.

Question 1.2. Is there a Calabi-Yau variety *X* as in Question 1.1 in any characteristic p > 0?

An affirmative answer to this question would necessarily involve varieties of dimension > 2.

It should also be mentioned that the definition of a Calabi-Yau variety used here is not the generally accepted definition; usually the additional condition

(1.1) $H^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{X}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < i < \dim X$

is also imposed. The variety *X* we introduce will *not* satisfy this additional condition. It is then natural to ponder the question below.

Question 1.3. Is there an *X* as in Question 1.1 that satisifies the condition of (1.1)?

Such an *X* would necessarily be of dimension > 2. Indeed, Calabi-Yau surfaces satisfying (1.1) are *K*3 surfaces, for which the deformations are known to be unobstructed.

2. The variety X in Question

Throughout we assume that *k* is of characterstic 2.

Let *E* be an ordinary elliptic curve over *k*; i.e., the for the *k*-points of the 2-torsion subgroup $E[2] \subset E$ we have

$$E[2](k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $P \in E[2](k)$ be the unique non-trivial 2-torsion point of *E*. Consider the product $E \times E$ and let $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ act on $E \times E$ by

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x + P, -y).$$

Here the "+" and "-" are those of the group law of *E*. The action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $E \times E$ is free, and so the quotient $X = (E \times E)/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ will be a smooth proper surface over *k*. It is an example of a bielliptic surface, which is a family of algebraic surfaces of Kodaira dimension 0.

We recall some standard properties of bielliptic surfaces (see e.g. [BM77]). The euler characteristic of the structure sheaf of *X* is

(2.1)
$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$$

The Betti numbers of *X* are given by

$$(2.2) b_0 = b_4 = 1, b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 2.$$

Proposition 2.1. The cotangent bundle Ω^1_X is trivial: $\Omega^1_X \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_X$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially on $\Omega_{E\times E}^1 \simeq p_1^*\Omega_E^1 \oplus p_2^*\Omega_E^1$. Given $\alpha \in \Omega_E^1$, pulling back along the map $x \mapsto x + P$ sends α to α regardless of the characteristic of k, and pulling back along $x \mapsto -x$ sends α to $-\alpha = \alpha$ (recall that 2 = 0).

The above proposition in particular implies $\omega_X = \Omega_X^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$, and so by Serre-duality

$$h^{02}=h^2(\mathscr{O}_X)=h^0(\omega_X)=1.$$

From (2.1), we deduce

$$h^{01} = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 2.$$

We find the Hodge diamond of *X* to be

2.1. The Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence. Let Ω_X^{\bullet} denote the algebraic de Rham complex of *X* and recall that the de Rham cohomology of *X* is defined by

$$H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/k) = H^i R\Gamma(X, \Omega^{\bullet}_X).$$

We also write $h_{dR}^i = \dim_k H_{dR}^i(X/k)$. The naive filtration on Ω_X^{\bullet} gives rise to the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence

(2.4)
$$E_1^{ij} = H^j(X, \Omega_X^i) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/k).$$

In order to show that the spectral sequence of (2.4) degenerates we need only show that for all *n* we have

$$h_{\mathrm{dR}}^n = \sum_{i+j=n} h^{ij}$$

The inequality \leq in 2.5 is already clear from the spectral sequence 2.4. The following proposition therefore reduces to proving the inequality \geq .

Proposition 2.2. The Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence (2.4) degenerates at the E_1 -page.

Proof. Write $Y = X \times X$ so that we have an étale $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor $Y \to X$. We obtain the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{ij} = H^i(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, H^j(Y, \Omega_Y^{\bullet})) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}).$$

The action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $H^j(Y, \Omega^{\bullet}_Y)$ is trivial, and so, since we know the de Rham cohomology of the abelian variety *Y*, we can compute all the terms on the *E*₂-page. In particular we deduce that

$$E_2^{01}\simeq k^{\oplus 4}$$
, $E_2^{10}\simeq E_2^{20}\simeq k$.

It follows that $h_{dR}^1(X/k) = \dim_k E_{\infty}^{01} + \dim_k E_{\infty}^{10} \ge 3 + 1 = 4$. Since $h_{dR}^1(X/k) \le h^{10} + h^{01} = 2 + 2 = 4$ we conclude that $h_{dR}^1(X/k) = 4$. Poincaré duality also gives $h_{dR}^3 = 4$. To see that $h_{dR}^2 = h^{20} + h^{11} + h^{02} = 1 + 4 + 1 = 6$, one inspects the Hodge-to-de Rham

To see that $h_{dR}^2 = h^{20} + h^{11} + h^{02} = 1 + 4 + 1 = 6$, one inspects the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence of *X* directly, using the already computed Hodge and de Rham numbers.

Remark 2.3. We provide an alternative proof for the above proposition using [Suw83]. One can show that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Pic}_{X/k}^{0,\operatorname{red}} \to \operatorname{Pic}_{X/k}^{\tau} \to E[2] \to 0.$$

Since *E* is an ordinary elliptic curve we have $E[2] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_2$. We have

(2.6)
$$\operatorname{rk}_2 E[2] = \operatorname{rk}_2 F E[2] + \operatorname{rk}_2 V E[2].$$

Here $_FE[2]$, respectively $_VE[2]$, denotes the kernel of the Frobenius, respectively the Verschiebung, morphism on E[2], and for a given finite group scheme *G* over *k* we

write $\operatorname{rk}_2 G = \log_2 h^0(\mathcal{O}_G)$. We conclude from (2.6) and [Suw83, Corollary 3] that the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence of *X* degenerates at *E*₁.

2.2. Torsion in the crystalline cohomology. Write W = W(k) for the ring of Witt vectors. For the crystalline cohomology $H^*(X/W)$ of *X* there is the following "universal coefficient exact sequence":

(2.7)
$$0 \to H^1(X/W) \otimes_W k \to H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X/k) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^W(H^2(X/W), W) \to 0.$$

Since dim_k $H^1(X/W) \otimes_W k = b_1$, as $H^1(X/W)$ is torsion-free, the term Tor₁^W ($H^2(X/W)$, W) is non-trivial if and only if

$$h_{\rm dR}^1 > b_1$$

The betti numbers of *X* are recorded in (2.2), and the de Rham numbers are computed from the Hodge numbers (2.3) and the fact that the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates by Proposition 2.2. We observe that

$$h_{\rm dR}^1 = 4 > 2 = b_1,$$

and hence conclude that $H^2(X/W)$ has non-trivial p = 2-torsion. This in combination with the remarks of the introduction makes the variety X a potential candidate to answer Question1.1.

Remark 2.4. One can in fact show much more: $H^*(X/W)_{\text{tors}}$ is always killed by 2 and from this we can deduce the precise structure of the integral crystaline cohomology groups.

3. The deformation theory of X

As before, W = W(k). Denote by Art_W the category of local Artin *W*-algebras. Let

(3.1) $\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Def}_X \colon \operatorname{Art}_W \to \operatorname{Sets} \\ R \mapsto \{f \colon \mathscr{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} R \mid f \text{ is flat and } \mathscr{X} \otimes_R k \simeq X\} / \simeq \end{array}$

denote the deformation functor of *X*. We argue that *X* has obstructed deformations; i.e., that the functor Def_X is not formally smooth. In light of Proposition 2.2 this answers Question 1.1. Practically all of the leg work is done by Holger Partsch in [Par13].

Consider the projection onto the first coordinate

$$p: X \to E/\langle x \mapsto x + P \rangle.$$

It is an elliptic fibration over the elliptic curve $A := E/\langle x \mapsto x + P \rangle$, and it can easily be shown that this is the Albanese fibration. Consider the problem of deforming \mathscr{X} together

with the elliptic fibration $p: X \to A$: for a given $R \in \operatorname{Art}_W$, a flat morphism of *R*-schemes $\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A}$ is said to be a *deformation of* p if there is a commutative diagram of *k*-schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{X} \otimes_R k & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X \\ & \downarrow^{\pi \otimes_R k} & \downarrow^p \\ \mathscr{A} \otimes_R k & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & A. \end{array}$$

We introduce a second deformation functor:

$$\operatorname{Fib}_{X/A} = \operatorname{Fib}_X \colon \operatorname{Art}_W \to \operatorname{Sets} R \mapsto \{\pi \colon \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A} \text{ deformation of } p\}/\simeq.$$

There is obviously a natural map

$$(3.2) Fib_X \to Def_X.$$

Proposition 3.1. The map of (3.2) is an isomorphism.

Proof. See [Par13, Proposition 6.6].

3.1. **Deformations of a Jacobian fibration.** The elliptic fibration $p: X \to A$ is *Jacobian*: it admits a section $A \dashrightarrow X$. We fix one such section $e: A \to X$. This makes $p: X \to A$ into an elliptic curve over A, and we now want to consider deformations of $X \to A$ as an elliptic curve. These are deformations $\pi: \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A}$ of p that are Jacobian (they admit a section $\varepsilon: \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{X}$)¹. Consider the deformation functor

Jac_X: Art_W \rightarrow Sets $R \mapsto \{\pi \colon \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A} \text{ a Jacobian deformation of } p \colon X \to A\}/\simeq$.

A given deformation $\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A}$ of p might not admit a section, but $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{A}} \to \mathscr{A}$ is a deformation of p that always does: the unit section $\varepsilon \colon \mathscr{A} \to \operatorname{Pic}^{0}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{A}}$ is one. This gives us a natural map

(3.3)
$$\operatorname{Fib}_{X} \to \operatorname{Jac}_{X}.$$
$$(\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A}) \mapsto \operatorname{Pic}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{A}}^{0}.$$

Since for any jacobian fibration $\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A}$ we have $\mathscr{X} \simeq \operatorname{Pic}^{0}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{A}}$ over \mathscr{A} , the map of (3.3) canonically admits a section Jac_{*X*} --+ Fib_{*X*}.

The goal now is to analyze the deformation functor Jac_X and to show that it is *not* smooth.

¹We do not need to consider the section as part of the datum of a Jacobian fibration, because any section can be sent by an automorphism of $\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{A}$ to any other section.

REFERENCES

We introduce two more auxiliary deformation functors: Def_E is the deformation functor of *E* as an elliptic curve, and $Def_{E,p}$ is defined by

 $R \mapsto \{(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{P} \in \mathscr{E}[2](R)) \mid \mathscr{E} \otimes_R k \simeq E \text{ and } \mathscr{P} \mapsto P \text{ under this isomorphism} \}.$

Now consider the map

$$(3.4) Def_{E,P} \times Def_E \to Jac_X$$

that "caries out the Igusa construction"; i.e., it sends a pair $((\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{P}), \mathscr{F})$ to $\mathscr{E} \times \mathscr{F} / \langle (x, y) \mapsto (x + \mathscr{P}, -y) \rangle$.

Proposition 3.2. *The map of* (3.4) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This is the content of [Par13, Proposition 3.2].

The deformation functor Def_E is smooth, but $Def_{E,P}$ is not:

Proposition 3.3. *The deformation functor* $\text{Def}_{E,P}$ *is not smooth.*

Proof. In [Par13, Section 6.1.2] the base of a versal deformation of $\text{Def}_{E,P}$ is computed, using Serre-Tate theory, to be $\text{Spf}W[[q-1]][\sqrt{q}]$, which is not a smooth formal *W*-scheme.

Corollary 3.4. *The deformation functor* Jac_X *is not formally smooth.*

Proof. Combine the previous two propositions.

We finally settle Question 1.1:

Corollary 3.5. *The deformation functor* Def_X *is not formally smooth.*

Proof. By the isomorphism $\operatorname{Fib}_X \simeq \operatorname{Def}_X$ from Proposition 3.1, we are reduced to showing that Fib_X is not formally smooth. If this were the case, then we could deduce that Jac_X is formally smooth using the section $\operatorname{Jac}_X \to \operatorname{Fib}_X$ mentioned right below (3.3). This contradicts the previous corollary.

REFERENCES

- [BM77] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford. "Enriques' Classification of Surfaces in Char.
 p, II". In: *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry: A Collection of Papers Dedicated to K. Kodaira*. Cambridge University Press, 1977, pp. 23–42.
- [BT24] Lukas Brantner and Lenny Taelman. *Deformations and Lifts of Calabi-Yau Varieties in Characteristic p.* 2024. arXiv: 2407.09256 [math.AG].URL:https: //arxiv.org/abs/2407.09256.
- [Par13] Holger Partsch. "Deformations of elliptic fiber bundles in positive characteristic". In: *Nagoya Mathematical Journal* 211 (2013), pp. 79–108.

REFERENCES

[Suw83] Noriyuki Suwa. "De Rham cohomology of algebraic surfaces with q=pa in char. p". In: *Algebraic Geometry*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1983, pp. 73–85.

Mathematisches Institut, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 40225 Düsseldorf, Ger-Many

Email address: hugo.zock@uni-duesseldorf.de